arXiv:1612.01965v1 [math.CO] 6 Dec 2016

Packing Directed and Rainbow Hamilton Cycles Online

Michael Anastos*and Joseph Briggs†

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Carnegie Mellon University,
Pittsburgh PA 15213.

Abstract

Consider a directed analogue of the random graph process on n vertices, where the $n(n-1)$ edges are ordered uniformly at random and revealed one at a time. It is known that w.h.p. the first digraph in this process with both in-degree and out-degree $\geq q$ has a $[q]$-edge-coloring with a Hamilton cycle in each color. We show that this coloring can be constructed online, where each edge must be irrevocably colored as soon as it appears. In a similar fashion, for the *undirected* random graph process, we present an online $[n]$-edge-coloring algorithm which yields w.h.p. q disjoint rainbow Hamilton cycles in the first graph of the process that contains q disjoint Hamilton cycles.

1 Introduction

Let $\vec{K}_n$ be the complete directed graph on n vertices. We let $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n(n-1)})$ be a uniformly random permutation of the edges of $\vec{K}_n$ and consider the random process of digraphs $D_1, D_2, ..., D_{n(n-1)}$ defined by $D_m = (V_n, E_m)$ with $E_m = (e_1, ..., e_m)$ for $m \in [n(n-1)]$. This is a directed analogue of the celebrated Erdős-Rényi random graph process [7], in which the edges of the *undirected* complete graph K_n are ordered uniformly at random, similarly yielding a random process of graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{n(n-1)/2} = K_n$. Graph-theoretic properties of D_m and G_m are said to hold "with high probability" (w.h.p.) if they occur with probability $1 - o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, where m is allowed to be a random variable depending on n.

A *Hamilton cycle* is a (directed) cycle passing through all n vertices exactly once. When a graph or digraph contains such a cycle, we say it is *Hamiltonian*. The study of Hamilton cycles is fundamental to graph theory, including in the random setting. For a digraph to contain a Hamilton cycle it certainly requires each vertex to have $\geq$1 in-edge and 1 out-edge, but quite remarkably, this is almost always sufficient for the random graphs D_m. Specifically, let D_{τ_q} denote the first digraph in this random process with both minimum in-degree and out-degree ≥ 1. In [11], Frieze showed that w.h.p. D_{τ_q} is Hamiltonian yielding a hitting-time strengthening of McDiarmid [21] and a directed version of the classical result due to Bollobás [4] and Ajtai, Komlós and Szemerédi [1]. The latter two papers independently proved that w.h.p. the first G_m in the undirected random graph process with minimum degree $\delta(G_m) \geq 2$ is Hamiltonian, thus bringing to fruition the work built up by Komlós and Szemerédi [16], Korshunov [17] and Pósa [22] previously.

*email:manastos@andrew.cmu.edu
†email:jbriggs@andrew.cmu.edu

The undirected version was strengthened [5] by Frieze and Bollobás to additional Hamilton cycles thus: let $q = O(1)$ be fixed. If $G_{\tau'_{2q}}$ is the first random graph in the undirected process with $\delta(G_{\tau'_{2q}}) = 2q$ then w.h.p. $G_{\tau'_{2q}}$ has a $[q]$-edge-coloring with a Hamilton cycle in every color. In fact, results for $q \to \infty$ with $n \to \infty$ have been established in all cases thanks to extensive work completed by Knox, Kühn and Osthus [15] and Krivelevich and Samotij [19].

In these papers, it appeared that the minimum degree conditions were still the most binding aspects of the proofs, suggesting stronger results could be obtained if corresponding minimum degree conditions are met. Indeed, Krivelevich, Lubetzky and Sudakov [18] took advantage of the Achlioptas process with parameter $K = o(\log n)$ to build a Hamilton cycle using w.h.p. only $(1 + o(1))\frac{\tau'_2}{K}$ edges. In this process, at each time step, K random new edges are presented, out of which one is added to the current graph, thereby allowing a bias towards low-degree vertices when necessary. In a similar fashion, Briggs, Frieze, Krivelevich, Loh and Sudakov [3] extended the classical result to an on-line version. They presented an algorithm coloring the edges $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n(n-1)/2})$ as they appeared, with $q = O(1)$ colors, such that w.h.p. $G_{\tau'_{2q}}$ contains a monochromatic Hamilton cycle of every color. The on-line nature of this coloring is of importance, because the color of each new random edge e_m *cannot depend on the location of the edges appearing thereafter.*

In this paper we consider the analogous scenario in the directed random graph process. Here, the edges of the random permutation $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n(n-1)})$ of $\vec{K}_n$ are revealed one by one. As soon as an edge is revealed it has to be colored irrevocably with one of $q = O(1)$ colors. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. There exists an on-line $[q]$-edge-coloring algorithm for $D_1, \ldots, D_{n(n-1)}$ such that w.h.p. D_{τ_q} has q monochromatic Hamilton cycles, one in every color in $[q]$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we present a coloring algorithm which we name COL. Thereafter we split the proof into two parts. In the first part we prove that each color class c of D_{τ_q} given by COL satisfies the minimum degree condition necessary for Hamiltonicity. In the second part (drawing our proof strategy from [11]) we fix $c \in [q]$ and show w.h.p. D_{τ_q} has a monochromatic Hamilton cycle in color c.

In [20], Lee, Sudakov and Vilenchik also considered the on-line undirected random graph process. They were *orienting* each new edge $\{u, v\}$ as either the *directed* edge $u \to v$ or $v \to u$, to form a *directed* cycle in $G_{\tau'_2}$ (as opposed to coloring edges as they appear). It turns out that the techniques that we use in order to prove Theorem 1.1 can be used to prove a combination of [3] and [20], namely:

Theorem 1.2. There exists an on-line algorithm that orients *and* $[q]$-edge-colors $G_1, \ldots, G_{n(n-1)/2}$ such that w.h.p. $G_{\tau'_{2q}}$ has q ***directed*** Hamilton cycles, one in every color in $[q]$.

Since the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are almost identical we will not give a detailed proof of Theorem 1.2. Instead we provide the algorithm and the main difference in the appendix.

A beautiful consequence of Theorem 1.2 is the following. A Hamilton cycle is *rainbow* if it does not contain two edges of the same color. Ferber and Krivelevich proved in [10] that for $p = (\log n + \log\log n + \omega(1))/n$ if we color uniformly at random the edges of $G_{n,p}$ with $(1 + o(1))n$ colors, then the resulting graph w.h.p. contains a rainbow Hamilton cycle, improving previous results of Frieze and Loh [12] following Cooper and Frieze [6]. Unfortunately, we cannot replace $(1 + o(1))n$ colors with n. Indeed, among n colors assigned to $\sim \frac{1}{2}n \log n$ edges, there is w.h.p. some color that never appears, so there is no hope of a rainbow Hamilton cycle. By contrast, in our (slightly) more deterministic on-line setting, we have that n colours are indeed sufficient:

Theorem 1.3. There exists an on-line algorithm that orients *and* $[n]$-edge-colors $G_1, \ldots, G_{n(n-1)/2}$ such that $G_{\tau'_{2q}}$ has w.h.p. q edge-disjoint ***directed rainbow*** Hamilton cycles. In particular, $G_{\tau'_2}$ has a rainbow Hamilton cycle (upon ignoring the directions).

Indeed, given an algorithm $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ satisfying Theorem 1.2, we can construct an algorithm $COL\text{-}RBOW$ that satisfies Theorem 1.3 in the following way. Write $V = \{v_1, ...v_n\}$, and whenever $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ directs an edge from v_i to v_j, let $COL\text{-}RBOW$ color it i. At time τ'_{2q}, any directed Hamilton cycle given by $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ has distinct out-vertices for every edge, and therefore distinct colors given by $COL\text{-}RBOW$. So, $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ yielded q edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles w.h.p., and $COL\text{-}RBOW$ gave them all rainbow colors.

Throughout the paper we use the well-known result that w.h.p.

$$n\log n + n(q-1)\log\log n - \omega \leq \tau_q, \tau'_q \leq n\log n + n(q-1)\log\log n + \omega$$

for any ω which tends to infinity as n tends to infinity.

2 The Colouring Algorithm COL

The coloring algorithm COL, given later on, will color greedily arcs that are incident to vertices that "do not see all the colors". In order to describe precisely the behaviour of the algorithm COL we introduce some notation given in the following subsection. Note that the notation given below will be used repeatedly throughout the paper.

2.1 Some notation

Notation. "By/at time t" is taken to mean "after t edges have been revealed", that is, with respect to D_t. We also write τ for τ_q.

Definition 2.1. For $v \in V_n$, $c \in [q]$ and $t \in \{0, 1, ..., \tau\}$, we set $d_t^+(v,c)$ (and $d_t^-(v,c)$ resp)to equal the numbers of arcs with out-(in- resp.) vertex v, that have been revealed by time t and have been assigned color c by the algorithm COL. Also write $d_t^+(v)$ ($d_t^-(v)$ resp) for the total number of out-(in-) arcs from v by time t. Hence $d_t^+(v) = \sum_{c\in[q]} d_t^+(v,c)$.

Definition 2.2. For $v \in V_n$ and $t \in \{0, 1, ..., \tau\}$ we set $C_v^+(t) := \{c \in [q] : d_t^+(v,c) = 0\}$ (i.e the colors that at time t are missing from the out-arcs of v). Similarly set $C_u^-(t) := \{c \in [q] : d_t^-(v,c) = 0\}$.

Notation. For $v \in V_n$ we set $d^+(v) := d_\tau^+(v)$ and $d^-(v) := d_\tau^-(v)$.

Definition 2.3. For $t \in \{0, 1, ..., \tau\}$ we set $FULL_t^+ := \{v \in V_n : C_v^+(t) = \emptyset\}$ (i.e. the set of vertices that at time t have out degree in each color at least one). Similarly define $FULL_t^-$.

2.2 Algorithm COL

Algorithm ColorGreedy(u, v, t) will be called in multiple places during the algorithm COL, hence is given beforehand.
For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ we also set $m_i = i \cdot e^{-q\cdot 10^4} n\log n$ and $p_i = \frac{m_i}{n(n-1)}$.

Algorithm 1 ColorGreedy(u, v, t)

if $u \notin FULL^+_{t-1}$ or $v \notin FULL^-_{t-1}$ **then**
color arc uv by a color that is chosen uniformly at random from $C^+_u(t-1) \cup C^-_v(t-1)$.
else
color arc uv with a color that is chosen uniformly at random from [q].
end if

Algorithm 2 COL

for $t = 1, ..., m_1$ **do**
let $e_t = uv$
Execute ColorGreedy(u, v, t).
end
For $v \in V_n$ set $c^+(v) = 1$, $c^-(v) = 1$.
for $t = m_1 + 1, m_1 + 2, ..., m_2$ **do**
let $e_t = uv$
if $u \notin FULL^+_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL^-_{t-1}$ **then**
Execute ColorGreedy(u, v, t).
else
color the arc uv by the color c that satisfies $c \equiv c^+(u) \mod q$,
$c^+(u) \leftarrow c^+(u) + 1$.
end
end
for $t = m_2 + 1, m_2 + 2, ..., m_3$ **do**
let $e_t = uv$
if $u \notin FULL^+_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL^-_{t-1}$ **then**
Execute ColorGreedy(u, v, t).
else
color the arc uv by the color c that satisfies $c \equiv c^-(v) \mod q$,
$c^-(v) \leftarrow c^-(v) + 1$.
end
end
For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, * \in \{+, -\}$ set B^*_i:=$\{v \in V_n : d^*_{m_i}(v) - d^*_{m_{i-1}} \leq \epsilon \log n\}$, where $\epsilon = e^{-q \cdot 10^6}$.
Furthermore set BAD:=$B^+_1 \cup B^-_1 \cup B^+_2 \cup B^-_3$ and $E^2 := \emptyset$, $E^3 := \emptyset$.
for $t = m_3 + 1, ..., \tau$ **do**
let $e_t = uv$
if $u \notin FULL^+_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL^-_{t-1}$ **then**
Execute ColorGreedy(u, v, t).
else if $u \in BAD$ *or* $v \in BAD$ **then**
color the arc uv by a color c that minimizes $d^+_t(u, c)\mathbb{I}(u \in BAD) + d^-_t(v, c)\mathbb{I}(v \in BAD)$. If there is more than one such color then choose one from them uniformly at random.
else
Execute ColorGreedy(u, v, t).
Add the arc uv to either E^2 or E^3 randomly, each with probability 1/2 .
end
end

Remark 2.4. If for some t, $e_t = uv$ and $C^+_u(t-1) \cup C^-_v(t-1) \neq \emptyset$ then any color from $C^+_u(t-$

$1) \cup C_v^-(t-1)$ has probability at least $\frac{1}{q}$ to be chosen to color uv.

Remark 2.5. The arcs in D_{m_3}, together with those meeting BAD thereafter, will be used in the first phase of the construction of Hamilton cycles.

Remark 2.6. COL splits the edges revealed after time t_3 not meeting BAD into two sets E^2 and E^3. These two sets will be used in the second and third phases respectively.

3 Structural results

Recall the following relations between $D_{n,m}$ and $D_{n,p}$ (see [13]). Let Q be any property of $D_{n,m}$ for some m, $0 \le m \le n(n-1)$ and let $p = \frac{m}{n(n-1)}$ then,

$$\mathbb{P}(D_{n,m} \text{ has } Q) \le 10\sqrt{m}\mathbb{P}(D_{n,p} \text{ has } Q). \tag{1}$$

Moreover if Q is a monotone increasing property i.e. it is preserved under edge addition or monotone decreasing property i.e. it is preserved under edge deletion, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(D_{n,m} \text{ has } Q) \le 3\mathbb{P}(D_{n,p} \text{ has } Q). \tag{2}$$

For $p \in [0,1]$ we denote by $Bin(k,p)$ the random variable following the Binomial distribution with k objects each appearing with probability p. Also, we will make use of the Chernoff bounds: namely, if X is a $Bin(k,p)$ random variable with mean $\mu = np$ then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$Pr[X \le (1-\epsilon)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2\mu}{2}}, \tag{3}$$

$$Pr[X \ge (1+\epsilon)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2\mu}{2+\epsilon}}. \tag{4}$$

Finally for the rest of the paper we let

$$p_\ell = \frac{\log n + (q-1)\log\log n - \omega(n)}{n}, \qquad m_\ell = n(n-1)p_\ell,$$

and

$$p_u = \frac{\log n + (q-1)\log\log n + \omega(n)}{n}, \qquad m_u = n(n-1)p_u,$$

where $\omega(n) = \frac{1}{2}\log\log\log n$. Recall that w.h.p. D_{n,m_ℓ} has zero vertices of in- or out- degree less than $q-1$. In addition w.h.p. $m_\ell \le \tau \le m_u$.

Lemma 3.1. W.h.p. for $k \in \left[q-1, \frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}\right]$, D_{n,m_ℓ} has at most $v_k := \frac{e^{2\omega(n)}(\log n)^{k-q+1}}{(k-1)!}$ vertices of in-degree *at most* k. Hence, the same is true for vertices of out-degree *exactly* k, and similarly for in-degree k.

Proof. By taking a union bound and using (2) for the first inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(D_{n,p_\ell} \text{ has more than } v_k \text{ vertices of in-degree at most } k)\\
&\le \binom{n}{v_k}\left[3\sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{n-1}{j}(1-p_\ell)^{n-j-1}p_\ell^j\right]^{v_k} \le \left(\frac{en}{v_k}\right)^{v_k}\left[3(k+1)\binom{n-1}{k}(1-p_\ell)^{n-k-1}p_\ell^k\right]^{v_k}\\
&\le \left[\frac{en}{v_k}\frac{3(k+1)n^k}{k!}e^{-\log n-(q-1)\log\log n+\omega(n)+o(1)}\left(\frac{\log n+(q-1)\log n\log n-\omega(n)}{n}\right)^k\right]^{v_k}\\
&\le \left[e^{-\omega(n)+O(1)}\left(1+\frac{q\log\log n}{\log n}\right)^k\right]^{v_k} \le \left[e^{-\omega(n)+O(1)+\frac{q\log\log n}{\log n}k}\right]^{v_k} \le e^{-\frac{\omega(n)v_k}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\text{for some } k \in \Big[q-1, \frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}\Big] \text{ there are more than } v_k \text{ vertices of out-degree k in } D_{n,p_\ell}\Big)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=q-1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}} e^{-\frac{\omega(n)v_k}{2}} = \sum_{k=q-1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}} \big(e^{-\frac{1}{4}\log\log\log n}\big)^{v_k} = o(1). \qquad \square$$

Definition 3.2. For $u, v \in V_n$ let the undirected distance from u to v at time t, denoted by $d'_t(u,v)$, be the distance from u to v in the graph that is obtained from D_t when we ignore the orientations of the edges.

Definition 3.3. For $t \in [\tau]$, let $SMALL_t$:=$\{v \in V : d^+_t(v) \leq \frac{\log n}{100}$ or $d^-_t(v) \leq \frac{\log n}{100}\}$ (so for $t \geq n\log n$, $SMALL_t$ consists of vertices with significantly smaller degree than their expected value).

Lemma 3.4. W.h.p. for every $v, w \in SMALL_\tau, d'_\tau(v,w) \geq 2$.

Proof. Set $SMALL'$:=$\{v \in V : d^+_{m_u}(v)$ or $d^-_{m_u}(v) \leq \frac{1}{100}\log n + 2\omega(n)\}$. (1) gives us

$$\mathbb{P}\big(v, w \in SMALL' \text{ and } d'_{m_u}(v,w) \leq 2\big)$$
$$\leq 10\sqrt{m_u} \sum_{k=1,2} \binom{n-2}{k-1} (2p_u - p_u^2)^k \left[2P\Big(Bin(n-1-k, p_u) \leq \frac{\log n}{100} + 2\omega(n) - 1\Big)\right]^2$$
$$\leq \frac{200\sqrt{n}\log^{2.5} n}{n} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\frac{1.1\log n}{100}-1} \binom{n-2}{l} p_u^l (1-p_u)^{n-2-l}\right]^2$$
$$\leq \frac{200\log^{2.5} n}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\Big(\frac{1.1\log n}{100}\Big)\Big(\frac{100en}{1.1\log n}\Big)^{\frac{1.1\log n}{100}} \Big(\frac{1.1\log n}{n}\Big)^{\frac{1.1\log n}{100}} \Big(1 - \frac{\log n}{n}\Big)^{n-2-\frac{1.1\log n}{100}}\right]^2$$
$$\leq \frac{\log^{4.5} n}{\sqrt{n}} \left[(100e)^{\frac{1.1\log n}{100}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\log n}{n}\Big(n - 2 - \frac{1.1\log n}{100}\Big)\right\}\right]^2$$
$$\leq \frac{\log^{4.5} n}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\exp\left\{(1+\log 100)\frac{1.1\log n}{100} - \log n + o(1)\right\}\right]^2 = o(n^{-2.3}).$$

In the event $m_\ell \leq \tau \leq m_u$, as D_τ precedes D_{m_u}, we have that $E_\tau \subset E_{m_u}$ and $|E_{m_u} \backslash E_\tau| \leq 2\omega(n)$. Furthermore if $d'_\tau(v,w) \leq 2$ then $d'_{m_u}(v,w) \leq 2$. Therefore $m_\ell \leq \tau \leq m_u$ implies that $SMALL_\tau \subset SMALL'$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\exists v, w \in SMALL_\tau \text{ such that } d'_\tau(v,w) \leq 2\Big) \leq \binom{n}{2} o(n^{-2.3}) + \mathbb{P}\Big(\tau \notin [m_\ell, m_u]\Big) = o(1). \qquad \square$$

Notation. For a digraph D denote by $\Delta^+(D)$ and $\Delta^-(D)$ its maximum out- and in-degree respectively.

Lemma 3.5. W.h.p. $\Delta^+(D_\tau), \Delta^-(D_\tau) \leq 12\log n$.

Proof. We implicitly condition on the event $\{\tau \le m_u\}$. Using (2)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\Delta^+(D_\tau) \text{ or } \Delta^-(D_\tau) \ge 12\log n\big) &\le \mathbb{P}\big(\Delta^+(D_{m_u}) \ge 12\log n\big) + \mathbb{P}\big(\Delta^+(D_{m_u}) \ge 12\log n\big)\\ &\le 2\cdot 3\cdot n\binom{n-1}{12\log n}p_u^{12\log n} + o(1) \le 6n\left(\frac{en}{12\log n}\right)^{12\log n}\left(\frac{2\log n}{n}\right)^{12\log n} + o(1)\\ &= 6n\left(\frac{e}{6}\right)^{12\log n} + o(1) = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. W.h.p. $\Delta^+(D_{m_1})$, $\Delta^-(D_{m_1}) \le \frac{\log n}{10^3 q}$.

Proof. Recall $p_1 = \frac{m_1}{n(n-1)} = \frac{e^{-q\cdot 10^4}\log n}{n-1}$. Then (2) gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\Delta^+(D_{m_1}) \text{ or } \Delta^-(D_{m_1}) \ge \frac{\log n}{10^3 q}\right) &\le 3\cdot 2n\binom{n-1}{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}}p_1^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} \le 6n\left(\frac{10^3 qe(n-1)}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} p_1^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}}\\ &\le 6n\left(10^3 qe^{-q\cdot 10^4+1}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Minimum degree 1 in color c

Theorem 4.1. W.h.p. COL succeeds in assigning colors to the arcs such that $\forall c \in [q]$ and $\forall v \in V_n$ we have $d^+_\tau(v,c)$, $d^-_\tau(v,c) \ge 1$.

By symmetry, it suffices to prove the out-degree part. The proof will follow from Lemmas 4.6, 4.14 given below. In the proofs of both we implicitly condition on Lemma 3.4.

For $v \in V_n$ denote by $N^+(v)$ the out-neighbours of v in D_τ and set $N^+_L(v) := N^+(v)\backslash SMALL_\tau$. Furthermore let $A^+_L(v)$ be the set of arcs arising from $N^+_L(v)$ (i.e. $A^+_L(v) := \{vw \in E_\tau : w \in N^+_L(v)\}$). For $w \in N^+_L(v)$ we fix a set $B^-_v(w)$ of $\frac{\log n}{100} - 1$ arcs in $\big(V_n\backslash\{v,w\}\big)\times\{w\}$. Finally we let $A^-_v(w) := B^-_v(w)\cup\{vw\}$.



Figure 1: arcs in $A^+_L(v)$ and in $B^-_u(w)$ are in blue and red respectively.

Let $D^{(1)}_\tau$ and $D^{(2)}_\tau$ be two copies of D_τ colored in parallel according to algorithm $COL1(v)$ given below.

Notation. For $i \in [2]$ we extend the notation $C^+_v(t)$, $C^-_v(t), FULL^+_t$, $FULL^-_t$, BAD to $C^+_{i,v}(t)$, $C^-_{i,v}(t)$, $FULL^+_{i,t}$, $FULL^-_{i,t}$ and $BAD_{i,v}$ to denote the corresponding quantities in $D^{(i)}_\tau$.

Algorithm 3 COL1(v)

for $t = 1, ..., \tau$ **do**
  let $e_t = xy$
  if $e_t \in \bigcup_{w \in N_L^+(v)} B_v^-(w)$ **then**
    choose a color c from $[q]$ uniformly at random
    if $c \in C_{2,x}^+(t-1) \cup C_{2,y}^-(t-1)$ **then**
      color e_t in both $D_\tau^{(1)}$, $D_\tau^{(2)}$ with color c
    else
      color e_t in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ with color c
      to color e_t in $D_\tau^{(2)}$ execute step t of COL. [1]
    end
  else
    to color e_t in $D_\tau^{(2)}$ execute step t of COL. [1]
    color e_t in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ by the same color as in $D_\tau^{(2)}$.
  end
end

Remark 4.2. The colorings of $D_\tau^{(2)}$ and D_τ have the same distribution.

Remark 4.3. For every $t \in [\tau]$ and $w \in N_L^+(v)$ since the algorithm may color an arc $e_t = xw$ in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ and in $D_\tau^{(2)}$ with distinct colors c and c' respectively only in the case where $c \notin C_{2,x}^+(t-1) \cup C_{2,w}^-(t-1)$ (i.e $c \notin C_{2,w}^-(t-1)$) we have $C_{2,w}^-(t) \subseteq C_{1,w}^-(t)$.

Definition 4.4. Fot $t \in [\tau]$ we say that $e_t \in A^+(v)$ contributes to the coloring of v (or just contributes to v) in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ if *either* $C_{1,v}^+(t-1) = \emptyset$ *or* e_t gets a color in $C_{1,v}^+(t-1)$.

Lemma 4.5. Once q arcs have contributed to the coloring of v in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ we have that in $D_\tau^{(2)}$, v has out-degree at least one in each color.

Proof. Follows directly from Definition 4.4 and Remark 4.2. □

Lemma 4.6. Let $v \in V_n$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\text{less than } q \text{ arcs contribute to the coloring of } v \text{ in } D_{m_\ell}^{(1)}\big) \leq \binom{d^+(v)-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{d^+(v)-q}.$$

Before proceeding to the proof of Lemma 4.6 we introduce the following two functions.

Definition 4.7. For $e \in E_\tau$ define the bijection $h : E_\tau \to [\tau]$ where $h(e) = k$ means $e = e_k$, i.e e was the kth arc to be revealed. Thus, for example, $FULL_{1,h(vw)}^- = FULL_{1,t'}^-$ where $e_{t'} = vw$.

Definition 4.8. For $w \in N_L^+(v)$ define the bijection $g_{v,w} : A_v^-(w) \to \left[\frac{\log n}{100}\right]$ where $g_{v,w}(xw) = k$ means xw is the kth arc that was revealed out of all the arcs in $A_v^-(w)$.

Also we define the following events.

[1] Here we suppose that we run COL. Our current arcs $e_1, ..., e_{(t-1)}$ have the colors that have been assigned by $COL1(v)$ to the corresponding arcs in $D_\tau^{(2)}$. We use $FULL_{2,t}^+$, $FULL_{2,t}^-$ and $BAD_{2,v}$ in place of $FULL_t^+$, $FULL_t^-$ and BAD respectively.

Definition 4.9. For $w \in N_L^+(v)$ set $F(w)$ to be the event that in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ $\nexists \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ s.t. $\ell q + q < g_{v,w}(vw)$ and $g_{v,w}^{-1}(\ell q+1), ..., g_{v,w}^{-1}(\ell q+q)$ are colored by q distinct colors.

Remark 4.10. For every $w \in N_L^+(v)$, the event $\{w \notin FULL_{1,h(vw)}^-\} \subseteq F(w)$.

Indeed, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\ell q + q < g_{v,w}(vw)$ the arcs $g_{v,w}^{-1}(\ell q+1), ..., g_{v,w}^{-1}(\ell q+q)$ precede vw. So if they were colored differently, we would have $w \in FULL_{1,h(vw)}^-$, which is the contrapositive.

Remark 4.11. The events $\{F(w) : w \in N_L^+(v)\}$ are independent.

Indeed, for $w \in N_L^+(v), \mathbb{P}(F(w))$ depends only on the relative time $g_{v,w}(vw)$ of vw among in-edges of w. That is because the colors that *COL1(v)* assigns to the edges, $g_{v,w}^{-1}(1), g_{v,w}^{-1}(2), ...$, $g_{v,w}^{-1}\big(g_{v,w}(vw)-1\big)$, preceding vw are chosen independently and uniformly at random from $[q]$. Thus in showing the independence of $\{F(w)\}$ it suffices to note that the values $\{g_{v,w}(vw) : w \in N_L^+(v)\}$ are independent, and this follows from the sets $A_v^-(w)$ being disjoint. *Proof of Lemma* 4.6. For $w \in N_L^+(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(F(w)\big) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{\log n}{100}} \mathbb{P}\big(\{g_{v,w}(vw)=k\} \wedge F(w)\big) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{\log n}{100}} \mathbb{P}\big(g_{v,w}(vw)=k\big)\mathbb{P}\big(F(w)|g_{v,w}(vw)=k\big) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{\log n}{100}} \frac{100}{\log n} \prod_{l=1}^{\lfloor k/q \rfloor}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^q}\right) \leq \frac{100}{\log n}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} q\left(1-\frac{1}{q^q}\right)^j \leq \frac{100}{\log n} q^{q+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\big(\text{ less than } q \text{ arcs contribute to the coloring of } v \text{ in } D_\tau^{(1)}\ \big) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\left\{w \in N_L^+(v) : w \notin FULL_{1,g_{v,w}^{-1}(vw)}^-\right\}\right| \geq d^+(v)-q\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\{w \in N_L^+(v) : \text{event } F(w) \text{ occurs }\}\right| \geq d^+(v)-q\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v)-1, \frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right) \geq d^+(v)-q\right) \leq \binom{d^+(v)-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{d^+(v)-q}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality follows from Remark 4.10. The last inequality follows from the independence of the events $\{F(w)\}$, the fact that $\big|N_L^+(v)\big| \geq d^+(v)-1$ (see Lemma 3.4) and (5). colorred

Remark 4.12. The two basic ingredients that are used in the proof of Lemma 4.6 as well as in Lemma 4.14 are the following: First, for $w \in N_L^+(v)$ the sets $B_v^-(w)$ are disjoint and of size $\Omega(\log n)$. Second, in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ for every $w \in N_L^+(v)$ the arcs in $B_v^-(w)$ are colored independently and uniformly at random. The disjointness of the sets $B_v^-(w)$ implied the independence of the events $F(w)$ while the fact their size is $\Omega(\log n)$ leads to sufficiently small probability.

The following remark will be used later in the proof of lemma 6.10

Remark 4.13. We could reproduce the above lemma with different parameters and similar definitions. That is we could use m_1 in place of τ, $N_{m_1}^+(v)$ to be the neighbours of v in D_{m_1} and for $w \in N_{m_1}^+(v)$ $B_{m_1,v}^-(w)$ to be a set of arcs in E_{m_1} from $V_n \backslash \{v,w\}$ to w of size $\gamma \log n$ where γ

is some positive constant. In this case for every $v \in V_c$ such that the condition $|\{w \in V_n : w \in N^+(v), h(vw) < m_1 \text{ and } d^+_{m_1}(w) \leq \gamma \log n\}| \leq k$ (in place of Lemma 3.4) holds, using the same methodology, we could prove that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\text{less than } q \text{ arcs contribute } v \text{ in } D^{(1)}_{m_1}(v)\big) \leq \binom{d^+_{m_1}(v)-k}{q-1}\left(\frac{q^{q+1}}{\gamma \log n}\right)^{\left(d^+_{m_1}(v)-k\right)-(q-1)}.$$

Hence, conditioning on $d = \min\{d^+_{m_1}(v), d^-_{m_1}(v)\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big(v \notin FULL^+_{m_1} \cap FULL^-_{m_1}\big) \leq 2\binom{d-k}{q-1}\left(\frac{q^{q+1}}{\gamma \log n}\right)^{(d-k)-(q-1)}.$$

The bound provided by Lemma 4.6 is not strong enough for vertices of small out-degree. However it can be improved by considering some extra information, done in Lemma 4.14.

Lemma 4.14. Let $v \in V_n$ satisfy $q \leq d^+(v) \leq \log\log n$. Then the probability that fewer than q arcs contribute to the coloring of v in $D^{(1)}_\tau$ is bounded above by

$$\frac{101(\log\log n)^5}{\log n}\binom{d^+(v)-1}{q-2}\left(\frac{101q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{d^+(v)-q+1}.$$

In addition to $h(\cdot)$ we are going to use the two functions defined below.

Definition 4.15. For each $v \in V_n$, let $g_v : A^+_L(v) \to \big[|A^+_L(v)|\big]$ map $vw \mapsto k$ whenever vw is the kth arc revealed among $A^+_L(v)$. Similarly define $h_v : \bigcup_{w \in N^+_L(v)} A^-_v(w) \to \Big[\frac{\log n}{100} \cdot |A^+_L(v)|\Big]$.

Observe that the maps $h_v(\cdot), g_v(\cdot)$ are also bijections.

Proof. With $r_\ell = q^q \log\log n$ and $r = (\log\log n)^5$ we set the events A and B as follows.

- Let A be the event $\{h_v(g_v^{-1})(1) \leq r\}$; i.e. the first arc of $A^+_L(v)$ precedes the $(r+1)$st of $\bigcup_{w \in N^+_L(v)} A^-_v(w)$.
- Let B be the event $\{\exists w \in N^+_L(v) : h_v(g^{-1}_{v,w}(r_\ell)) < r+1\}$; i.e. for some $w \in N^+_L(v)$, less than r_ℓ arcs in $A^-_v(w)$ are revealed before the $(r+1)$st arc of $\bigcup_{w \in N^+_L(v)} A^-_v(w)$.

We condition on whether A, $A^c \cap B$, or $A^c \cap B^c$ occurs. In each case we use the same methodology as in Lemma 4.6 to bound the desired probability. Observe that Lemma 3.4 implies, as $d^+_\tau(v) \leq \log\log n$, that v has no out-neighbour in $SMALL_\tau$, hence $N^+(v) = N^+_L(v)$. Furthermore note that in any of the events A, $A^c \cap B$ and $A^c \cap B^c$ the first arc that appears with out-vertex v contributes to the colouring of v. Since $N^+(v) = N^+_L(v)$ that arc belongs to $A^+_L(v)$.

- Case 1: A occurs.

Set $\mathcal{E}_1 = \left\{(f_1, \ldots f_s) \in \left(\bigcup_{w \in A^+_L(v)} B^-_v(w)\right)^{s-1} \times A^+_L(v) : s \leq r \text{ and } f_1, \ldots, f_s \text{ are distinct}\right\}$.
For $E = (f_1, \ldots f_s) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ we set $f_E = f_s$ and we define A_E to be the event $\{e_i = f_i \text{ for all } i \in [s]\}$. Consequently the events A_E partition A. We furthermore define the set $A^-_{v,E}(w)$, the function $g_{v,w,E}(vw)$ and the event $F(w,E)$ as follows. We set $A^-_{v,E}(w)$ to be a subset of $A^-_v(w) \backslash E$

of size $\frac{\log n}{100} - r$ and we define the map $g_{v,w,E} : A^-_{v,E}(w) \to \left[\frac{\log n}{100} - r\right]$ given by the relation $g_{v,w,E}(xw) = k$ where xw is the kth arc that was revealed out of the arcs in $A^-_{v,E}(w)$. In addition we set $F(w,E)$ to be the event that A_E occurs and that in $D^{(1)}_\tau$ $\nexists \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ s.t. $\ell q + q < g_{v,w,E}(vw)$ and $g^{-1}_{v,w,E}(\ell q + 1), ..., g^{-1}_{v,w,E}(\ell q + q)$ are colored by q distinct colors.
For $E \in \mathcal{E}_1$, suppose we condition on A_E. By using the same tools as in Lemma 4.6 with $A^-_{v,E}(\cdot)$, $g_{v,\cdot,E}(v\cdot)$ and $F(\cdot, E)$ in place of $A_v(\cdot)$, $g_{v,\cdot}(v\cdot)$ and $F(\cdot)$ respectively, we have that for $w \in N^+_L(v)\backslash\{v^*\}$ where $vv^* = f_E$ the events $F(w,E)$ occur independently with probability at most $\frac{q^{q+1}}{\frac{\log n}{100} - r}$. On the other hand f_E contributes to the the coloring of v with probability 1. Therefore, as the events A_E partition A, conditioned on the event A, the probability that fewer than q arcs contribute to the coloring of v in $D^{(1)}_\tau$ is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v) - 1, \frac{q^{q+1}}{\frac{\log n}{100} - r} \right) \geq [d^+(v) - 1] - (q-2) \right).$$

As $\mathbb{E}\big[|A^+_L(v) \cap \{h_v^{-1}(1), h_v^{-1}(2), ..., h_v^{-1}(r)\}|\big] = \frac{r}{|A^+_L(v)|\frac{\log n}{100}} \cdot |A^+_L(v)|$, Markov's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}\big(|A^+_L(v) \cap \{h_v^{-1}(1), h_v^{-1}(2), ..., h_v^{-1}(r)\}| \geq 1\big) \leq \frac{100r}{\log n}.$$

- Case 2: The event $A^c \cap B$ occurs.

Set $\mathcal{E}_2 = \left\{ (f_1, ...f_r) \in \left(\bigcup_{w \in A^+_L(v)} B^-_v(w) \right)^r : f_1, ..., f_r \text{ are distinct and } |\{f_1, ..., f_r\} \cap A^-_v(w)| < r_\ell \text{ for some } w \in N^+_L(v) \right\}$. Henceforth we can proceed as in Case 1 but without using the guaranteed contribution of the first arc in $A^+_L(v)$. Thus, conditioned on the event $A^c \cap B$, the probability that fewer than q arcs contribute to the coloring of v in $D^{(1)}_\tau$ is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v), \frac{q^{q+1}}{\frac{\log n}{100} - r} \right) \geq d^+(v) - (q-1) \right).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B) \leq \mathbb{P}(B) &\leq d^+(v) \sum_{i=0}^{r_\ell - 1} \binom{\frac{\log n}{100}}{i} \binom{(d^+(v)-1)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r-i} \Big/ \binom{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r} \\
&= d^+(v) \sum_{i=0}^{r_\ell - 1} \binom{\frac{\log n}{100}}{i} \binom{(d^+(v)-1)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r-i} \binom{r}{r-i} \Big/ \binom{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r-i} \binom{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100} - r + i}{i} \\
&\leq d^+(v) \sum_{i=0}^{r_\ell - 1} r^i \binom{(d^+(v)-1)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r-i} \Big/ \binom{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100}}{r-i} \\
&\leq d^+(v) \sum_{i=0}^{r_\ell - 1} r^i \prod_{j=0}^{r-i-1} \frac{(d^+(v)-1)\frac{\log n}{100} - j}{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100} - j} \leq d^+(v) \sum_{i=0}^{r_\ell - 1} r^i \left(\frac{(d^+(v)-1)\frac{\log n}{100}}{d^+(v)\frac{\log n}{100}} \right)^{r - r_l} \\
&\leq d^+(v) \cdot r^{r_\ell} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{r - r_l}{d^+(v)} \right\} \\
&\leq \exp\left\{ \log\big(d^+(v)\big) + q^q \log\log n \cdot 5\log(\log\log n) - 0.4(\log\log n)^4 \right\} = o\left(\frac{1}{\log^3 n} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To get from the second to the third line we are using the fact that $d^+(v) \geq 2$. Furthermore at the last inequality we use that $d^+(v) \leq \log\log n$

- Case 3: The event $A^c \cap B^c$ occurs.

Set $\mathcal{E}_3 = \Bigg\{ (f_1, ...f_r) \in \Bigg(\bigcup_{w \in A_L^+(v)} B_v^-(w) \Bigg)^r : f_1, ..., f_r$ are distinct and for every $w \in N_L^+(v)$ we have that $|\{f_1, ..., f_r\} \cap A_v^-(w)| \geq r_\ell \Bigg\}$. For $E \in \mathcal{E}_3$ we define the event A_E be $\{e_i = f_i$ for all $i \in [r]\}$. Consequently we have that the events A_E partition the event $A^c \cap B^c$. Furthermore for $E = (f_1, ...f_r) \in \mathcal{E}_3$ and $w \in N_L^+(v)$ we set $\tilde{A}_{v,E}^-(w)$ to be a subset of $A_v^-(w)$ of size $\frac{\log n}{100} - r + r_\ell$ such that $|\tilde{A}_{v,E}^-(w) \cap \{e_1, ..., e_r\}| = r_\ell$ and define the map $\tilde{g}_{v,w,E} : \tilde{A}_{v,E}^-(w) \mapsto \big[\frac{\log n}{100} - r + r_\ell\big]$ and the event $\tilde{F}(w, E)$ correspondingly. Note that for $w \in N_L^+(v)$ and for $E \in \mathcal{E}_3$ since $A_E \subset A^c \cap B^c$ we have that $\tilde{g}_{v,w,E}(vw) > r_\ell$. Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 4.6 for any $E \in \mathcal{E}_3$ and $w \in N_L^+(v)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\big(\tilde{F}(w,E)|A_E\big) &= \sum_{k=r_\ell+1}^{\frac{\log n}{100}-r+r_\ell} \mathbb{P}\big(\tilde{g}_{v,w,E}(vw) = k \wedge \tilde{F}(w,E)|A_E\big) \\
&= \sum_{k=r_\ell+1}^{\frac{\log n}{100}-r+r_\ell} \mathbb{P}\big(\tilde{g}_{v,w,E}(vw) = k|A_E\big)\mathbb{P}\Bigg(\tilde{F}(w,E)|\tilde{g}_{v,w}(vw) = k \wedge A_E\Bigg) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=r_\ell}^{\frac{\log n}{100}} \frac{1}{\frac{\log n}{100} - r}\Bigg(1 - \frac{1}{q^q}\Bigg)^{\lfloor k/q \rfloor} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{100}{\log n - 100r}\Bigg(1 - \frac{1}{q^q}\Bigg)^{\lfloor r_\ell \rfloor}\Bigg(1 - \frac{1}{q^q}\Bigg)^j \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{101}{\log n} \cdot \exp\Bigg(-\frac{1}{q^q} \cdot \lfloor q^q \log\log n \rfloor\Bigg) \cdot \Bigg(1 - \frac{1}{q^q}\Bigg)^j \leq \frac{101eq^q}{\log^2 n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Once more, for fixed $E \in \mathcal{E}_3$, conditioned on A_E the events $F(w, E)$ are independent (as in case 1). Furthermore the events A_E for $E \in \mathcal{E}_3$ partition $A^c \cap B^c$. Hence, conditioned on the occurrence of event $A^c \cap B^c$ the probability that less than q arcs contribute to the coloring of v in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ is bounded by

$$P\Bigg(Bin\Bigg(d^+(v), \frac{101eq^q}{\log^2 n}\Bigg) \geq d^+(v) - (q-1)\Bigg).$$

Finally, by conditioning on the occurrence of event A or $A^c \cap B$ or $A^c \cap B^c$ we get that for a vertex

v in $D_\tau^{(1)}$ satisfying $q \le d^+(v) \le \log\log n$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\big(\text{fewer than } q \text{ arcs contribute to the coloring of } v \text{ in } D_\tau^{(1)}\big)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v)-1, \frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n - 100(\log\log n)^5}\right) \ge [d^+(v)-1]-(q-2)\right)\frac{100(\log\log n)^5}{\log n}\\
&+ \mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v), \frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n - 100(\log\log n)^5}\right) \ge d^+(v)-q+1\right)\frac{1}{\log^3 n}\\
&+ \mathbb{P}\left(Bin\left(d^+(v), \frac{101eq^q}{\log^2 n}\right) \ge d^+(v)-q+1\right)\\
&\le \frac{101(\log\log n)^5}{\log n}\binom{d^+(v)-1}{q-2}\left(\frac{101q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{d^+(v)-q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1: We say COL fails if once the last edge has been revealed, there exist a vertex $v \in V$ and a color $c \in [q]$ such that the in- or out-degree of v in color c is 0. Observed that conditioned on the almost sure event $\{m_\ell \le \tau\}$ Lemma 3.1 implies that for all $k \in [q, 3\log n \backslash \log\log n]$ the number of vertices of degree at most k is at most $v_k = e^{2\omega(n)}(\log n)^{k-q+1}/(k-1)!$. Thus from Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, 4.14 and Remark 4.2, by implicitly conditioning on the event $\{m_\ell \le \tau\}$ and

Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(\text{ COL fails}) \le 2\mathbb{P}\big(\exists v \in D_{m_\ell} \text{ such that less than } q \text{ arcs contribute to the coloring of } v \text{ in } D^{(1)}_{m_\ell}\big)\\
&\le 2\sum_{k=\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}}^{n} n\cdot\binom{k-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q} + 2\sum_{k=\log\log n+1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}} v_k\cdot\binom{k-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q}\\
&+ 2\sum_{k=q}^{\log\log n} v_k\cdot\frac{101(\log\log n)^5}{\log n}\binom{k-1}{q-2}\left(\frac{101q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q+1}\\
&\le 2\sum_{k=\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}}^{n} n\cdot k^q\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q} + 2\sum_{k=\log\log n+1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}} \frac{e^{2\omega(n)}(\log n)^{k-q+1}}{(k-1)!}\cdot\binom{k-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q}\\
&+ 2\sum_{k=q}^{\log\log n} \frac{e^{2\omega(n)}(\log n)^{k-q+1}}{(k-1)!}\cdot\frac{101(\log\log n)^5}{\log n}q\binom{k-1}{q-1}\left(\frac{101q^{q+1}}{\log n}\right)^{k-q+1}\\
&\le 2\sum_{k=\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}}^{n}\frac{1}{n^2} + 2\sum_{k=\log\log n+1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}}\frac{e^{2\omega(n)}\log n}{(k-q)!}(100q^{q+1})^{k-q}\\
&+ 2\frac{101^2q^{q+2}(\log\log n)^5\cdot e^{2\omega(n)}}{\log n}\left[\sum_{k=q+1+202eq^{q+1}}^{\log\log n}\frac{(101q^{q+1})^{k-q}}{(k-q)!} + \sum_{k=q}^{q+202eq^{q+1}}\frac{(101q^{q+1})^{k-q}}{(k-q)!}\right]\\
&\le \frac{1}{n} + 2\log^2 n\sum_{k=\log\log n+1}^{\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}e}{k-q}\right)^{k-q}\\
&+ \frac{C_1(\log\log n)^6}{\log n}\left[\sum_{k=q+1+202eq^{q+1}}^{\log\log n}\left(\frac{101q^{q+1}e}{(k-q)}\right)^{k-q} + C_2\right]\\
&\le \frac{2}{n} + 2\log^2 n\cdot\frac{3\log n}{\log\log n}\left(\frac{100q^{q+1}e}{\log\log n-q}\right)^{\log\log n-q} + O\left(\frac{(\log\log n)^6}{\log n}\right) = o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

for some sufficiently large constants $C_1 = C_1(q)$ and $C_2 = C_2(q)$ depending only on q. □

5 Finding Hamilton cycles - Overview

We are now ready to proceed to show that w.h.p. for every color $c \in [q]$, COL succeeds in assigning color c to every edge in some Hamilton cycle in D_τ. We set D'_c to be the subgraph of D_τ induced by edges of color c. We start by constructing a minor D_c of D'_c. To do so we first remove some arcs and then applying contractions to arcs adjacent to vertices in BAD. By doing the contractions we hide the vertices in BAD while the arc removal ensures that any Hamilton cycle in D_c also yields a Hamilton cycle in D'_c. We split the rest of the proof into three phases.

During Phase 1 we use out-arcs and in-arcs that have been revealed during the time intervals $(m_1, m_2]$ and $(m_2, m_3]$ respectively in order to show that w.h.p. there exists a matching in D_c consisting of at most $2\log n$ cycles spanned by E_{m_3}. By matching we refer to a complete matching i.e. some $M \subset V_c \times V_c \backslash \{(v,v) : v \in V_c\}$ where every vertex has in- and out-degree exactly 1.

Thereafter, in Phase 2, we attempt to sequentially join any two cycles found in the current matching, starting with the matching above, to a single one. We join the cycles by a straightforward two-arc exchange, where arcs vw, xy in two distinct cycles are rerouted via vy, xw if the latter two are in E^2 (illustrated at Figure 5). We show that once this is no longer possible, we are left with a large cycle consisting of $n - o(n)$ vertices of D_τ.

Finally, during Phase 3, using arcs found in E^3, we sequentially try to merge the smaller cycles with the largest one. To merge two cycles during this phase we start by finding an arc in E^3 joining them. This creates a dipath spanning the vertices of the two cycles. Afterwards, we grow the set of dipaths using "double rotations", or sequences of two-arc exchanges that maintain a dipath on the same vertex set. (More specifically, for a dipath $P = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_s)$, suppose $p_s p_k, p_{k-1} p_l \in E^3$ with $k < l$. Then a double rotation, illustrated at Figure 5, using those two arcs replaces P with the dipath $P' = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-1}, p_l, p_{l+1}, ..., p_s, p_k, p_{k+1}, ..., p_{l-1})$.) By performing sequences of double rotations we find $\Omega(n)$ paths with a common starting vertex but *distinct* endpoints. With this many paths we succeed in closing one of them (joining the end-vertex to the start-vertex by an arc) with probability at least $1 - o(n^{-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Hence we may join all ($\leq 2 \log n$) cycles inherited from Phase 2.



Figure 2: merging of two cycles, as performed at phase 2, at the left and double rotation, as performed at phase 3, at the right.

6 Construction of D_c

Let D'_c be the graph induced by the arcs of color c, $BAD = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_b\}$ where for some $s \leq b$ we have that $SMALL \cap BAD = \{z_1, z_2, ...z_s\}$. D_c is set to be the graph that we get after applying the following algorithm to D'_c.

Algorithm 4 HideBad

$V^+ := V_n$, $V^- := V_n$, $E_{contr} := \emptyset$.
for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., s$ **do**
  Let $j, k \in [n]$ be minimal such that $v_j \in V^+, v_k \in V^-$ and $v_j z_\ell, z_\ell v_k \in E(D'_c)$.
  $V^+ \leftarrow V^+ \backslash \{z_\ell, v_j\}, V^- \leftarrow V^- \backslash \{z_\ell, v_k\}, E_{contr} \leftarrow E_{contr} \cup \{v_j z_\ell, z_\ell v_k\}$.
end
for $\ell = s+1, s+2, ..., b$ **do**
  if $z_\ell \notin V^+$ **then**
    Let $j \in [n]$ be the minimum such that $v_j \in V^+$ and $v_j z_\ell \in E(D'_c)$
    $V^+ \leftarrow V^+ \backslash \{v_j\}, V^- \leftarrow V^- \backslash \{z_\ell\}, E_{contr} \leftarrow E_{contr} \cup \{v_j z_\ell\}$.
  else if $z_\ell \notin V^-$ **then**
    Let $k \in [n]$ be the minimum such that $v_k \in V^-$ and $z_\ell v_k \in E(D'_c)$
    $V^+ \leftarrow V^+ \backslash \{z_\ell\}, V^- \leftarrow V^- \backslash \{v_k\}, E_{contr} \leftarrow E_{contr} \cup \{z_\ell v_k\}$.
  else
    Let $j, k \in [n]$ be minimal such that $v_j \in V^+, v_k \in V^-$ and $v_j z_\ell, z_\ell v_k \in E(D'_c)$
    $V^+ \leftarrow V^+ \backslash \{z_\ell, v_j\}, V^- \leftarrow V^- \backslash \{z_\ell, v_k\}, E_{contr} \leftarrow E_{contr} \cup \{v_j z_l, z_\ell v_k\}$.
  end if
end
Delete all arcs xy in $E(D'_c) \backslash E_{contr}$ such that $x \notin V^+$ or $y \notin V^-$.
Contract all edges in E_{contr} and let D_c be the resultant graph.

Remark 6.1. At each step of the algorithm $x \in V_n$ is removed from V^+ (similarly from V^-) iff for some $y \in V_n$ the arc xy (yx respectively) is added to E_{contr}.

Notation. Henceforth we denote by V_c the vertex set of D_c.

Definition 6.2. For $v \in V_c$ set $contr(v) := \{u \in V(D'_c)$: u gets contracted to $v\}$. Furthermore set v^+ and v^- to be the unique elements found in $contr(v) \cap V^+$ and $contr(v) \cap V^-$ respectively.

Remark 6.3. Every $v \in V_c$ has both $v^+, v^- \notin BAD$. Moreover $v^+ = v^-$ iff $|contr(v)| = 1$.

Lemma 6.4. For $u, v \in V_c$ we have that $uv \in E(D_c) \Leftrightarrow u^+ v^- \in E(D'_c)$.

Proof. Observe that $xy \in E(D'_c)$ was removed or contracted iff after the last iteration of *HideBad* $x \notin V^+$ or $y \notin V^-$. Let $u, v \in V_c$ be such that $u^+ v^- \in E(D'_c)$. Then since $u^+ \in V^+$ and $u^- \in V^-$, from the observation follows that $u^+ v^-$ was not removed or contracted. In addition $u^+ v^-$ is identified with uv after the contractions, hence $uv \in E(D_c)$. Let $a, b \in V_c$ be such that $ab \in E(D_c)$ so certainly $a \neq b$. ab originated from an edge in $\big(contr(a) \times contr(b)\big) \cap E(D'_c)$ and since any edge in $\big(contr(a) \times contr(b)\big) \backslash \{a^+ b^-\}$ was either contracted or removed it must be the case that $u^+ v^- \in E(D'_c)$. □

Lemma 6.5. If there exists a Hamilton cycle in D_c then there exists a Hamilton cycle in D'_c.

Proof. For $u \in V_c$ define $P(u)$ to be the dipath in D'_c that contains all the vertices in $contr(u)$, starts at u^-, ends with u^+ and uses all the arcs in E_{contr} that are spanned by $contr(u)$ (in the case that $|contr(u)| = 1$, $P(u)$ is a single vertex i.e. a dipath of length 0). Now suppose $v_{\pi(1)}, v_{\pi(1)} v_{\pi(2)}, v_{\pi(2)}, ..., v_{\pi(n_c)}, v_{\pi(n_c)} v_{\pi(1)}, v_{\pi(1)}$ is a Hamilton cycle in D_c then, we have that $P(v_{\pi(1)}), v^+_{\pi(1)} v^-_{\pi(2)}, P(v_{\pi(2)}), ..., P(v_{\pi(n_c)}), v^+_{\pi(n_c)} v^-_{\pi(1)}, P(v^-_{\pi(1)})$ is a Hamilton cycle in D'_c. To see this, first note that $P(v_{\pi(i)})$ starts with $v^-_{\pi(i)}$ and ends with $v^+_{\pi(i)}$. Moreover $v_{\pi(i)} v_{\pi(i+1)} \in E(D_c)$ implies, by Lemma 6.4, that $v^+_{\pi(i)} v^-_{\pi(i+1)} \in E(D'_c)$. Finally, since the sets $contr(v)$ partition V_n, each vertex in V_n appears exactly in one of the dipaths $P(u)$. □

Theorem 6.6. W.h.p. the algorithm *HideBad* terminates.

The proof of Theorem 6.6 will follow from Lemmas 6.9 and 6.11 proven in this section. To state and prove these we will need the following definitions.

Definition 6.7. For $v \in V_n$, let $N(v)$:=$\{u \in V_n : d'_\tau(u,v) = 1\}$ (i.e those vertices whose undirected distance from v is one). Similarly set $N(N(v))$:=$\{u \in V_n : d'_\tau(u,v) \in \{1,2\}\}$.

Remark 6.8. All three sets of edges that appear at times found in $(0, m_1], (m_1, m_2]$ and $(m_2, m_3]$ respectively are distributed as the edges of D_{n,m_1}. Hence, by additionally taking into account the symmetry between in- and out- arcs in D_{n,m_1}, the sets B_1^+, B_1^-, B_2^+ and B_3^- (defined during the execution of *COL*) follow the same distribution.

Lemma 6.9. W.h.p. for all $v \in V_n$ we have that $|BAD \cap N(N(v))| \leq 4e^{q\cdot 10^5}$.

Proof. Let $k = e^{q\cdot 10^5}$ and suppose $|BAD \cap N(N(v))| > 4k$ for some $v \in V_n$. Then there is some digraph $S \subset D_\tau$ with $V(S) = \{v, b_1, ..., b_k, w_1, ..., w_l\}$ for some $l \leq k$ satisfying the following . For some $i \leq k$ all of the vertices $b_1, ..., b_i, w_1, ..., w_l$ are connected to v by arcs $e_1, ..., e_{i+l}$ and for $i < j \leq k$, b_j is connected to some $v_j \in \{b_1, ..., b_i, w_1, ..., w_l\}$ by the arc e_{j+l}. Furthermore there is some $B^* \in \{B_1^+, B_1^-, B_2^+, B_3^-\}$ such that $B = \{b_1, ..., b_k\} \subset B^*$. Suppose $B^* = B_1^+$. By setting for $E \subseteq E(S)$ the events $S_{m_1}(E)$:=$\{E(S) \cap E_{m_1} = E\}$ and $S_{m_1,\tau}(E)$:=$\{E(S)\backslash E \subset E_\tau \backslash E_{m_1}\}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} L = \mathbb{P}\big(\{S \subset D_\tau\} \wedge \{B \subset B_1^+\}\big) &= \sum_{E\subseteq E(S)} \mathbb{P}\big(S_{m_1}(E) \wedge S_{m_1,\tau}(E) \wedge \{B \subset B_1^+\}\big) \\ &= \sum_{E\subseteq E(S)} \mathbb{P}\big(S_{m_1}(E)\big) \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(S_{m_1,\tau}(E)\big|S_{m_1}(E)\big) \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(B \subset B_1^+\big|S_{m_1}(E) \wedge (S_{m_1,\tau}(E)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For fixed $E \subseteq E(S)$ (1) implies that,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(S_{m_1}(E)\big) \leq 10\sqrt{m_1}p_1^{|E|}(1-p_1)^{|E(S)\backslash E|} \leq np_1^{|E|} \leq n\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{|E|}.$$

Furthemore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\big(S_{m_1,\tau}(E)\big|S_{m_1}(E)\big) = \frac{\binom{n(n-1)-m_1-|E(S)\backslash E|}{\tau-m_1-|E(S)\backslash E|}}{\binom{n(n-1)-m_1}{\tau-m_1}} = \frac{\binom{\tau-m_1}{|E(S)\backslash E|}}{\binom{n(n-1)-m_1}{|E(S)\backslash E|}} &= \prod_{i=0}^{|E(S)\backslash E|-1} \frac{\tau-m_1-i}{n(n-1)-m_1-i} \\ \leq \left(\frac{\tau-m_1}{n(n-1)-m_1}\right)^{|E(S)\backslash E|} \leq \left(\frac{2n\log n}{n^2}\right)^{|E(S)\backslash E|}&. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in order to bound $\mathbb{P}\big(B \subset B_1^+\big|S_{m_1}(E) \wedge (S_{m_1,\tau}(E)\big)$ from below note the following. There are $\binom{n(n-1)-E(S)}{m_1-E}$ ways to pick $E_{m_1}\backslash E$ so that it can be extended to a chain $E_{m_1}\backslash E \subset E_{m_1}\backslash E_\tau$ such that E_{m_1} and E_τ satisfy both the events $S_{m_1}(E)$ and $S_{m_1,\tau}(E)$. Given $S_{m_1}(E)$ and $S_{m_1,\tau}(E)$ occur $E_{m_1}\backslash E$ is equally likely to be any of those $\binom{n(n-1)-E(S)}{m_1-E}$ choices. Moreover, if $B \subset B_1^+$ then every vertex in B has at most $\epsilon \log n$ out-arcs in E_{m_1}. Hence there are at most $f = \epsilon|B|\log n = \epsilon k \log n$ arcs in $E_{m_1}\backslash E$ with out-vertex in B (i.e. from the set $\{bv : b \in B, v \in V_n \text{ and } v \neq b\}$). Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(B\subset B_1^+\big|S_{m_1}(E)\wedge S_{m_1,\tau}(E)\big)\le\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f}\binom{k(n-1)}{j}\binom{n(n-1)-k(n-1)}{m_1-|E|-j}}{\binom{n(n-1)-E(S)}{m_1-|E|}}\le\frac{f\binom{k(n-1)}{f}\binom{n(n-1)-k(n-1)}{m_1-|E|-f}}{\binom{n(n-1)-E(S)}{m_1-|E|}}$$

$$\le f\binom{k(n-1)}{f}\frac{(m_1-|E|)!}{(m_1-|E|-f)!}\frac{\frac{[n(n-1)-k(n-1)]!}{[n(n-1)-k(n-1)-m_1+|E|+f]!}}{\frac{\big(\prod_{j=0}^{f-1}n(n-1)-|E(S)|-m_1+|E|+f-j\big)[n(n-1)-|E(S)|]!}{[n(n-1)-|E(S)|-m_1+|E|+f]!}}$$

$$\le f\left(\frac{ekn}{f}\right)^f\prod_{j=0}^{f-1}\frac{m_1-|E|-j}{n(n-1)-E(S)-m_1+|E|+f-j}\prod_{j=0}^{m_1-|E|-f-1}\frac{n(n-1)-k(n-1)-j}{n(n-1)-|E(S)|-j}$$

$$\le f\left(\frac{ekn}{f}\right)^f\left(\frac{m_1}{0.9n^2}\right)^f\cdot\prod_{j=0}^{m_1-|E|-f-1}\frac{n(n-1)-k(n-1)}{n(n-1)-|E(S)|}$$

$$\le f\left(\frac{ekm_1}{0.9fn}\right)^f\exp\left\{-\frac{k(n-1)-|E(S)|}{n(n-1)}\cdot(m_1-|E(S)|-f-1)\right\}$$

$$\le\epsilon k\log n\left(\frac{ekm_1}{0.9\epsilon kn\log n}\right)^{\epsilon k\log n}\exp\left\{-\frac{0.8km_1}{n}\right\}\le\epsilon k\log n\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{m_1}{n}}\exp\left\{-\frac{0.8km_1}{n}\right\}$$

$$\le\epsilon k\log n\cdot\exp\left\{[-\log(\epsilon)-0.8k]\frac{m_1}{n}\right\}\le\exp\left\{-0.7k\cdot\frac{m_1}{n}\right\}$$

$$\le\exp\left\{-0.7e^{q\cdot10^5}e^{-q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}\le\exp\left\{-e^{8.9q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}.$$

The 2th inequality follows from the fact that $\binom{k(n-1)}{j}\binom{n(n-1)-k(n-1)}{m_1-|E|-j}$ is increasing for $j\in[1,f]$. Thus, using the upper bounds found for the quantities on the right hand side of (6) we obtain

$$L\le\sum_{E\subset E(S)}n\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{|E|}\cdot\left(\frac{2\log n}{n}\right)^{|E(S)\setminus E|}\cdot\exp\left\{-e^{8.9q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}$$

$$\le\sum_{E\subset E(S)}\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{|E(S)|}\exp\left\{-e^{8.8q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}\le\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{|E(S)|}\exp\left\{-e^{8q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}.$$

For fixed l,k there are exactly $n\binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n-1-k}{l}$ ways to choose the vertices of S, or equivalently, disjoint sets $\{v\},\{b_1,...,b_k\}$ and $\{w_1,...,w_l\}$ from V_n. Thereafter there are at most $2^{l+k}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(i+l)^{k-i}$ choices for its directed edges. Taking into account Remark 6.8 and that $l\le k=e^{q\cdot10^4}$, union bound gives us

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists v\in V_n:|BAD\cap N(N(v))|>4e^{4q\cdot10^4}\big)$$

$$\le\mathbb{P}\big(\exists v\in V_n\text{ and }(i,*)\in\{(1,+),(1,-),(2,+),(3,-)\}:B_i^*\cap N(N(v))>e^{4q\cdot10^4}\big)$$

$$\le4\sum_{l=0}^{k}n\binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n-1-k}{l}2^{k+l}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(i+l)^{k-i}\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{l+k}\exp\left\{-e^{8q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}$$

$$\le4\sum_{l=0}^{k}n^{l+k+1}\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{l+k}\exp\left\{-e^{7q\cdot10^4}\log n\right\}=o(n^{-2}).\qquad\square$$

Lemma 6.10. W.h.p. for every $u \notin BAD$ we have that $u \in FULL^+_{m_1} \cap FULL^-_{m_1}$.

Proof. With $k = 4e^{q\cdot 10^5}$ Lemma 6.9 implies that w.h.p. for every $u \in V_n$ we have $|\{w \in V_n : w \in N^+(v),\ h(uw) < m_1 \text{ and } d^+_{m_1}(w) \le \epsilon \log n\}| \le k$. Hence as $u \notin BAD$ implies that $d = \min\{d^+_{m_1}(u), d^-_{m_1}(u)\} \ge \epsilon \log n$ from Remark 4.13, with $\gamma = \epsilon$ it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists u \notin BAD \text{ s.t. } v \notin FULL^+_{m_1} \cap FULL^-_{m_1}\big) \le 2n \max_{\epsilon \log n \le d \le n} \left\{ \binom{d-k}{q-1} \left(\frac{q^{q+1}}{\epsilon \log n}\right)^{(d-k)-(q-1)} \right\}$$
$$\le 2nn^{q-1} \left(\frac{q^{q+1}}{\epsilon \log n}\right)^{0.5\epsilon \log n} = o(1). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 6.11. W.h.p. for every $v \in BAD \backslash SMALL$ we have that v has at least $\log\log n$ out-arcs in each color ending in $V_n \backslash BAD$ and at least $\log\log n$ in-arcs in each color starting from $V_n \backslash BAD$.

Proof. Let $v \in BAD \backslash SMALL$. Then v has at least $\frac{\log n}{100}$ out-neighbours. Lemma 3.6 gives us that the out- degree of v at time m_3 is at most $\frac{3\log n}{10^3 q}$. Therefore v has at least $\frac{\log n}{100} - \frac{3\log n}{10^3 q} - 4e^{q\cdot 10^5}$ out-neighbours in $V_n \backslash BAD$ that arrive after m_3. By the previous lemma for all $u \in V_n \backslash BAD$ and all $c \in [q]$ we have $d^-_{m_1}(u, c) \ge 1$. Hence at most q such arcs vu that arrive at some time $t > m_3$ will be colored under the condition $v \notin FULL^+_{t-1}$. Thus there are at least $\frac{\log n}{100} - \frac{3\log n}{10^3 q} - 4e^{q\cdot 10^5} - q$ arcs vu with $u \in V_n \backslash BAD$ that will arrive at some time $t > m_3$ and will be colored with color c that minimizes $d^+_t(v, c)\mathbb{I}\{v \in BAD\} + d^-_t(u, c)\mathbb{I}\{u \in BAD\} = d^+_t(v, c)$ (i.e. the arcs are given a color in which v has the smallest out-degree when they appear). Thus v will have at least $\frac{1}{q}\big(\frac{\log n}{100} - \frac{3\log n}{10^3 q} - 4e^{q\cdot 10^5} - q\big) - 1 \ge \log\log n$ out-arcs in each color ending in $V_n \backslash BAD$. A similar argument holds for the number of arcs from $V_n \backslash BAD$ to v. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Assume that the algorithm $HideBad$ does not terminate. Then there is an iteration f at which there do not exist $v_j \in V^+$ and $v_k \in V^-$ such that $v_j z_f, z_f v_k \in E(D'_c)$, WLOG the former (the case $\nexists v_k \in V^-$ will follow similarly).

Case 1: $f \le s$ (i.e $z_f \in SMALL$). As every vertex has in-degree at least one $\exists x \in V_n$ such that the arc xz_f belongs to E_τ and has color c. Hence, $\exists \ell < f$ such that at ℓ-th iteration x was removed from V^+. This implies that $z_\ell \in N(N(z_f))$. Hence we get that z_ℓ, z_f belong to $SMALL$ and z_f, z_ℓ have distance less than 3 contradicting Lemma 3.4.

Case 2: $s < f \le b$ (i.e $z_f \in BAD \backslash SMALL$). Since $z_f \notin SMALL$ Lemma 6.11 implies that $\exists S \subset V_n$ such that $|S| \ge \log\log n$ and for every $z \in S$ the arc zz_f belongs to E_τ and has color c. Observe that at any iteration $\ell < f$ at most 2 vertices are removed from $V^+ \cap S$ in the case that $z_\ell \in N(N(z_f))$, and none are removed otherwise. Hence as $V^+ \cap S = \emptyset$ at the beginning of the f-th iteration we have that $2|N(N(z_f)) \cap BAD| \ge \log\log n$ which contradicts Lemmas 6.9 and 6.11.

7 Structure of D_c

Lemma 7.1. W.h.p. $|BAD| = o(n)$

Proof. Recall $p_1 = m_1/n(n-1)$. For every $v \in V_n$, (2) gives us

$$\mathbb{P}\big(v \in BAD\big) = \mathbb{P}\big(v \in B^+_1 \cup B^-_1 \cup B^+_2 \cup B^-_3\big) \le 4\mathbb{P}(v \in B^+_1) = 4\mathbb{P}\left(d^+_{m_1}(v) \le e^{-\epsilon \log n}\right)$$
$$\le 4 \cdot 3 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(Bin(n-1, p_1) \le \epsilon \log n\right) \le 12 \exp\left(-0.49 e^{-q\cdot 10^4} \log n\right) = n^{-0.49 e^{-q\cdot 10^4}}.$$

At the last inequality we used (3). Hence by Markov's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|BAD| > n^{1-0.4e^{-q\cdot 10^4}}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(|BAD|)}{n^{1-0.4e^{-q\cdot 10^4}}} \leq n^{-0.09e^{-q\cdot 10^4}}. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 7.2. W.h.p. $|V_c| = n - o(n)$.

Proof. Every contraction that occurs during the execution of $HideBad$ reduces the number of vertices by one. As at most $2|BAD|$ such are performed, Lemma 7.1 gives us that w.h.p. $|V_c| \geq n - 2 \cdot n^{1-0.4e^{-q\cdot 10^4}}$. $\square$

We henceforth set $n_c := |V_c| = (1 - o(1))n$.

8 PHASE 1

In this section we take our first step toward proving that w.h.p. D_c has a Hamilton cycle by showing that w.h.p. there exists a matching in D_c consisting of at most $2\log n$ cycles and whose edges appear by time m_3. As usual, we proceed by implicitly conditioning on all aforementioned events proven to occur w.h.p.

Lemma 8.1. W.h.p. every $v \in V_c$ has at least 6 out- and at least 6 in- arcs in $E(D_c)$ that have been revealed during the intervals $(m_1, m_2]$ and $(m_2, m_3]$ respectively.

Proof. Let $v \in V_c$ then by remark (6.3) we have that $v^+ \notin BAD$ and therefore Lemma 6.10 gives us $v \in FULL^+_{m_1}$. As $v \in FULL^+_{m_1} \cap \overline{BAD}$ from Lemma 6.9 we have that there are at least $\alpha = \epsilon \log n - 4e^{q\cdot 10^5}$ arcs vw such that $w \in V_n \backslash BAD$ and that have been revealed after the time m_1 and before the time $m_2 + 1$. Out of those arcs at least $\frac{\alpha}{q} - 1$ have color c. From these $\frac{\alpha}{q} - 1$ arcs we have deleted at most 2 for each vertex in $N(N(u)) \cap BAD$, hence at most $2 \cdot 4e^{q\cdot 10^5}$. As $\frac{\alpha}{q} - 1 - 2 \cdot 4e^{q\cdot 10^5} > 6$ v has at least 6 out-arcs in $E(D_c)$ that have been revelaed during $(m_1, m_2]$.

The other part of this Lemma follows in a similar fashion (with v^-, $FULL^-_{m_1}$ and $(m_2, m_3]$ in place of v^+, $FULL^+_{m_1}$ and $(m_1, m_2]$ respectively). $\square$

Definition 8.2. For $v \in V_c$ set:

$$E^+_c(v) := \{\text{the first six arcs with out-vertex } v \text{ in } E(D_c) \text{ that are revealed in } (m_1, m_2]\},$$

$$E^-_c(v) := \{\text{the first six arcs with in-vertex } v \text{ in } E(D_c) \text{ that are revealed in } (m_2, m_3]\},$$

$$E^+_c := \underset{v\in V}{\cup} E^+_c(v), \qquad E^-_c := \underset{v\in V}{\cup} E^-_c(v).$$

From Lemma 8.1 it follows that w.h.p. the above sets are well-defined.

Lemma 8.3. W.h.p. $E^+_c \cup E^-_c$ spans a matching on V_c consisting of at most $2\log n_c$ cycles.

Proof. We will first show that w.h.p. $E^+_c \cup E^-_c$ spans a matching on V_c. Assume that E^+_c, E^-_c do not span a matching. Then HALL's Theorem gives us that there exists $K \subset V_c$ with $|K| = k \leq \frac{n_c}{2}$ that has in- or out-neighbourhood induced by E^-_c and E^+_c respectively of size $k - 1$. We will examine the case of its out-neighborhood being of size $k - 1$. The other case will follow in a similar fashion.

Let Y^+ be the random subgraph of D_c with edge set $E(Y^+) := E_{m_3} \backslash E^+_c$. Conditioned on $E(Y^+)$ we

may assume that for every $v \in V(D_c)$, $E_c^+(v)$ has been chosen independently uniformly at random from all sets of arcs form v to $V_c \backslash \{v\}$ of size 6 that have empty intersection with $E(Y^+)$. To see this let $E(Y^+) = \{f_1, ..., f_k\}$, $h_1, ..., h_k \in [m_3]$ and for $v \in V_c$ we let $H_v \subset [m_3]$ such that $|H_v| = 6$. If we further conditioned on the event $\mathcal{E} = \left(\bigwedge_{i \in [k]} \{h(f_i) = h_i\} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{v \in V_c} \left\{ \{h(e) : e \in E_c^+(v)\} = H_v \right\} \right)$, in the case $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, we have that for any $w \in V_c$ each set of arcs from w to $V_c \backslash \{w\}$ of size 6 that has empty intersection with $E(Y^+)$ has the same probability to be $E_c^+(w)$. Moreover the identity of the edges in $E_c^+(w)$ does not depend on the identity of $\{E_c^+(u) : u \in A\}$ for any $A \subset V_c \backslash \{w\}$. We write $d_{Y^+}^+(v, S)$ for the number of arcs in Y^+ from v to a given $S \subset V_c$. Recall Lemma 3.6 gives us that for every $v \in V_c$, $d_{Y^+}^+(v, V_c) \leq \frac{3 \log n}{10^3 q}$. We therefore have the probability of having a set $K \subset V_c$ that has as out neighbourhood induced by E_c^+ a set $S \subset V_c$ with $6 \leq |S| = |K| - 1 \leq \frac{n_c}{2}$ is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=7}^{\frac{n_c}{2}} \sum_{|K|=k} \sum_{|S|=k-1} \prod_{v \in K} \binom{k - 1 - \mathbb{I}(v \in S) - d_{Y^+}^+(v, S)}{6} \Big/ \binom{n_c - 1 - d_{Y^+}^+(v, S)}{6} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{k=7}^{\frac{n_c}{2}} \left(\frac{e n_c}{k} \right)^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{6} \Big/ \binom{n_c - 1 - \frac{3 \log n_c}{100 q}}{6} \leq \sum_{k=7}^{\frac{n_c}{2}} \left(\frac{e n_c}{k} \right)^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{k^6}{(0.99 n_c)^6} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{k=7}^{\frac{n_c}{2}} \left(\frac{e^2 k^6 n_c^2}{0.94 k^2 n_c^6} \right)^k \leq \sum_{k=7}^{\frac{n_c}{2}} \left(\frac{8k^4}{n_c^4} \right)^k = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Hall's condition fails with probability $o(1)$. Therefore w.h.p. $E_c^+ \cup E_c^-$ spans a matching.

We proceed to prove that one of the matchings that is spanned by $E_c^+ \cup E_c^-$ consists of at most $2 \log n_c$ cycles. We now reveal all the arcs in $E_{m_3} \backslash (E_c^+ \cup E_c^-)$. In order to reveal those arcs we have to distinguish the arcs that belong to the sets $E_c^+(v), E_c^-(v)$. We do so by also revealing for $v \in V_c$ the sets $\{h(e) : e \in E_c^+(v)\}$ and $\{h(e) : e \in E_c^-(v)\}$. We let Y be the subgraph with $E(Y) := E_{m_3} \backslash (E_c^+ \cup E_c^-)$.

Notation. For $v \in V_c$ we denote by $d_Y^+(v)$ and $d_Y^-(v)$ the out- and in-degree of v in Y respectively.

By executing the following algorithm we can generate a collection of sets $\mathcal{F}_c$ such that $\mathcal{F}_c$ has the same distribution as $\{E_c^+(v), E_c^-(v) : v \in V_c\}$.

Algorithm 5 Generate($\mathcal{F}_c$)

for $v \in V_c$ **do**
  for $i \in [6]$ **do**
    Chose independently and uniformly at random vertices $v(i)$ and $v(i+6)$ from $V_c \backslash \{v\}$.
  end
end
if $\exists v \in V_c$, $i \in [6]$ *s.t.* $vv(i) \in E(Y) \cup \{vv(j) : j < i\}$ **then**
  Restart the algorithm
if $\exists v \in V_c$, $i \in [6]$ *s.t.* $v(i+6)v \in E(Y) \cup \{ww(\ell) : w \in V_n, \ell \in [6]\} \cup \{v(j+6)v : j < i\}$ **then**
  Restart the algorithm
else
  Set $F_c^+(v) := \{vv(i) : i \in [6]\}$, $F_c^-(v) := \{v(i+6)v : i \in [6]\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_c = \{F_c^+(v), F_c^-(v) : v \in V_c\}$.
end

By symmetry; both collections of sets $\mathcal{F}_c$ and $\{E_c^+(v), E_c^-(v) : v \in V_c\}$ are chosen uniformly at random from those available. Hence in order to check that $\mathcal{F}_c$ and $\{E_c^+(v), E_c^-(v) : v \in V_c\}$ have the same distribution it is enough to check that "those available" are the same in the two cases i.e. they satisfy the same conditions. The first is that for each $v \in V_c$ there is a set (in the two cases that set is $E_c^+(v)$ and $F_c^+(c)$ respectively) consisting of 6 distinct arcs from v to $V_c \backslash \{v\}$ not found in $E(Y)$. The second is that, if we let $E'(Y)$ to be the the union of the sets that satisfy the first condition, then for each $v \in V_c$ there is a set ($E_c^-(v)$ and $F_c^-(c)$ respectively) consisting of 6 distinct arcs from $V_c \backslash \{v\}$ to v not found in $E(Y) \cup \{e : e \in E_c^+(w), w \in V_c\}$. The final condition is that the collection consists of the $12n_c$ sets satisfying the first two conditions.

For a single iteration of *Generate($\mathcal{F}_c$)* we define the following events (where $(v,i) \in V_c \times [6]$):

- $S(v,i) := \big\{vv(i) \notin E(Y) \cup \{vv(j) : j < i\}\big\}$,
- $S(v,i+6) := \big\{v(i+6)v \notin E(Y) \cup \{ww(\ell) : w \in V_n, \ell \in [6]\} \cup \{v(j+6)v : j < i\}\big\}$,
- $S^+ := \bigcap_{(v,i) \in V_c \times [6]} S(v,i), \quad S^- := \bigcap_{(v,i) \in V_c \times [6]} S(v,i+6), \quad S := S^+ \cup S^-$ and
- $D := \big\{\nexists v \in V_c \text{ s.t. } |\{(w,i) \in (V_c \backslash \{v\}) \times [6] : w(i) = v\}| \geq \frac{\log n}{10^3 q}\big\}$.

Observe that in the event S, *Generate($\mathcal{F}_c$)* successfully defines $\mathcal{F}_c$. To bound below $\mathbb{P}(S)$ we first bound $\mathbb{P}(D)$ and $\mathbb{P}(S^+)$. Well,

$$\mathbb{P}(D) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\bar{D}) \geq 1 - n_c \cdot \binom{6(n_c-1)}{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(n_c-1)}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} \geq 1 - n_c \cdot \left(\frac{10^5 q}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{10^3 q}} \geq 1 - n^{-2}.$$

Suppose $V_c = \{v_1, ..., v_{n_c}\}$. For $(i,j), (k,\ell) \in [n_c] \times [6]$ write $(i,j) < (k,\ell)$ if $i < k$ or if $i = k$ and $j < \ell$. Conditioned on the events $S(v_i, j)$ for every $(i,j) < (k,\ell)$, there are at most $d_Y^+(v_k) + (\ell - 1)$ arcs with out-vertex v_k that lie in $E(Y) \cup \{v_k v_k(j) : 1 \leq j < \ell\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(S^+) &= \prod_{k \in [n_c]} \prod_{\ell \in [6]} \mathbb{P}\Bigg(S(v_k,\ell) \Bigg| \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j) \in [n_c] \times [6]: \\ (i,j) < (k,\ell)}} S(v_i,j)\Bigg) \geq \prod_{k \in [n_c]} \prod_{\ell \in [6]} \left(1 - \frac{d_Y^+(v_k) + (\ell-1)}{n_c}\right) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1.1 \log n}{10^3 q n_c}\right)^{6n_c} \geq \exp\left\{-2 \cdot \frac{1.1 \log n}{10^3 q n_c} \cdot 6n_c\right\} \geq n^{-\frac{14}{10^3 q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, conditioned on the events D, S^+, and on the events $\{S(v, j+6)\}$ for every $(i,j) < (k,\ell)$, there are at most $d_Y^+(v_k) + \frac{\log n}{10^3 q} + (\ell - 1)$ arcs going into v_k that lie in $E(Y) \cup \{v_a v_a(b) : (a,b) \in$

$[n_c]\times[6]\}\cup\{v_k(j+6)v_k : 1\le j<\ell\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(S)&=\mathbb{P}(S^+\cap S^-)\ge\mathbb{P}(S^+\cap S^-\cap D)=\mathbb{P}(S^+\cap D)\mathbb{P}(S^-|S^+\cap D)\\&=\mathbb{P}(S^+\cap D)\prod_{k\in[n_c]}\prod_{\ell\in[6]}\mathbb{P}\Big(S(v_k,\ell)\Big|D\cap S^+\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i,j)\in[n_c]\times[6]:\\(i,j)<(k,\ell)}}S(v_i,j+6)\Big)\\&\ge[\mathbb{P}(S^+)-\mathbb{P}(\bar{D})]\prod_{v\in V_c}\prod_{i\in[6]}\left(1-\frac{d_Y^-(v)+(i-1)+\frac{\log n}{10^3q}}{n_c}\right)\\&\ge\left(n^{-\frac{14}{10^3q}}-n^{-2}\right)\prod_{v\in V_c}\prod_{i\in[6]}\left(1-\frac{\frac{2\log n}{10^3q}+5}{n_c}\right)\ge n^{-\frac{15}{10^3q}}\left(1-\frac{2.1\log n}{10^3qn_c}\right)^{6n_c}\\&\ge n^{-\frac{15}{10^3q}}\exp\left\{-2\cdot\frac{2.1\log n}{10^3qn_c}\cdot 6n_c\right\}=n^{-\frac{15}{10^3q}}\cdot n^{-\frac{25.2}{10^3q}}\ge n^{-\frac{5}{10^2q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we run *Generate($\mathcal{F}_c$)* and we stop it before we check whether the events $S(v,i)$ are satisfied. We then let

$$S_c^+(v):=\{vv(1),...,vv(6)\},S_c^-(v):=\{v(7)v,...,v(12)v\}\text{ for every }v\in V_c,$$
$$S_c^+:=\bigcup_{v\in V_c}S_c^+(v)\text{ and }S_c^-:=\bigcup_{v\in V_c}S_c^-(v).$$

Thereafter we define the maps f,f' from matchings in $E_c^+\cup E_c^-$ and $S_c^+\cup S_c^-$ respectively to $[12]^{n_c}$ as follows: f sends a matching $M=\{v_1v_{\pi(1)},...,v_{n_c}v_{\pi(n_c)}\}\subset E_c^+\cup E_c^-$ to $\big(f_1(M),...,f_{n_c}(M)\big)\in[12]^{n_c}$ where $\forall i\in[n_c]$ $v_iv_{\pi(i)}$ is the $f_i(M)$-th edge to appear from those in $E_c^+(v_i)\cup E_c^-(v_{\pi(i)})$. Similarly f' maps $M'=\{v_1v_{\pi'(1)},...,v_{n_c}v_{\pi'(n_c)}\}\subset S_c^+\cup S_c^-$ to $\big(f_1'(M'),...,f_{n_c}'(M')\big)\in[12]^{n_c}$ where $\forall i\in[n_c]$ if $f_i'(M')\le 6$ then $v_iv_{\pi'(i)}=v_iv_i(f_i'(M'))$, otherwise $v_iv_{\pi'(i)}=v_{\pi'(i)}(f_i'(M'))v_{\pi'(i)}$. We then define an ordering on matchings that are spanned by $E_c^+\cup E_c^-$. For two such matchings M_1,M_2 we say M_1 lexicographically precedes M_2 if there exists $i\in[n_c]$ such that $f_i(M_1)<f_i(M_2)$ and $f_j(M_1)=f_j(M_2)$ for all $j<i$. Similarly we define an ordering on the matchings that are spanned by $S_c^+\cup S_c^-$.

Any derangement is equally likely to be one that corresponds to the first matching that appears lexicographically in $S_c^+\cup S_c^-$. It is known (see for example [8]. [9]) that the number of cycles X, in a random permutation on $[n_c]$, is distributed as the sum of n_c independent random variables $X_1,...,X_{n_c}$ such that $\mu:=\mathbb{E}(X)\sim\log n_c$, $\sigma^2=Var(X)\sim\log n_c$ and $|X_i-\mathbb{E}(X_i)|\le\gamma=1$. Hence the Bernstein inequalities [2] give us that

$$\mathbb{P}(X-\mu>2\log n_c-\mu)\le\exp\left\{\frac{(2\log n_c-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2+\frac{2}{3}\cdot\gamma\cdot(2\log n_c-\mu)}\right\}\le\exp(-0.5\log n_c)=n_c^{-0.5}.$$

As the proportion of derangements among n_c objects tends to $\frac{1}{e}$ as $n_c\to\infty$ we have that the probability of a derangement chosen uniformly at random from all the derangements consists of more that $2\log n_c$ cycles is $O(n_c^{-0.5})$. For $F\in\big\{E_c^+\cup E_c^-,S_c^+\cup S_c^-\big\}$ we let $L(F)$ be the event that the permutation corresponding to the matching that appears lexicographically first in F consists of more than $2\log n_c$ cycles. Then, with S as defined earlier, since conditioned on S the sets $E_c^+\cup E_c^-,S_c^+\cup S_c^-$ have the same distribution, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\big(L(E^+\cup E^-)\big)=\mathbb{P}(L(S^+\cup S^-)|S)\le\mathbb{P}(L(S^+\cup S^-))/\mathbb{P}(S)\le O(n_c^{-0.5})\cdot n_c^{\frac{5}{10^2q}}=o(1).$$

Hence w.h.p. $E_c^+\cup E_c^-$ spans a matching consisting of at most $2\log n_c$ cycles. □

9 PHASE 2

All events henceforth are conditioned on the collection of edges in $E(D_{m_3})$, and all arcs after time m_3 which meet at least one vertex in BAD (since the aforementioned phase 1 and edge contractions require this knowledge). We imagine, for every edge after m_3, revealing whether or not it meets a BAD vertex first, and only observing the edge (and its color given by the algorithm) if so, saving this information to be revealed until after time τ otherwise.

Let $Z := E^2 \cup E^3$. Conditioned on $|Z| = z$, Z is distributed as a set of size z that has been chosen uniformly at random from all the sets of z arcs having both of their endpoints in V_n and containing no arc that appeared by time m_3. Since w.h.p. $\tau > n \log n$ holds and $m_3 = 3e^{-q10^4} \log n$, we have that w.h.p. $|Z| \geq \frac{3}{4} n \log n$. In the instances that $|Z| \geq \frac{3}{4} n \log n$ we may generate Z by first generating a set Z' in which each candidate-edge for Z is included independently with probability $\frac{2}{3}\frac{\log n}{n}$ and then adding to Z' $z - |Z'|$ edges that are choosen uniformly at random among what remains (Chernoff bounds give us that w.h.p. $|Z'| < \frac{3}{4} n \log n$). Hence w.h.p. Z contains a set F that is distributed as a set in which each arc spanned by V_n that did not appear by time m_3 is included independently with probability $\frac{2}{3}\frac{\log n}{n}$.

Observe that every edge in D_c that did not appear by time m_3 corresponds to exactly one candidate-edge for F (see Lemma 6.4). Moreover every such edge has both of its endpoints in $V_n \backslash BAD$, hence by Lemma 6.10 it has both of its endpoints in $FULL^+_{m_1} \cap FULL^-_{m_1}$. Thus as every edge that appears after time m_3 and has both of its endpoints in $FULL^+_{m_3} \cap FULL^-_{m_3}$ is colored by color c independently with probability $\frac{1}{q}$ (see COL) we have the following.

W.h.p. $E^2 \cup E^3$ contains a subset $F_c = F_c^2 \cup F_c^3$, of arcs of color c, such that $F_c^2 \subset E^2$, $F_c^3 \subset E^3$ and any arc spanned by V_c that did not appeared by time m_3 is contained in F_c with probability $\frac{2 \log n}{3qn}$. Furthermore each arc in $F_c^2 \cup F_c^3$ belongs to F_c^2 independently with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Set $p_2 := \frac{\log n}{3qn}$ and denote by A_{m_3} the set of arcs that are spanned by V_c and have appeared by time m_3. (Note that A_{m_3} contains arcs of color other than c).

Meanwhile we inherit from phase 1 a permutation ϕ of V_c such that every $v\phi(v)$ is an edge of color c. From phase 1, and the fact that w.h.p. $n_c = (1 - o(1))n$, we have that w.h.p. ϕ consists of $z \leq 2 \log n_c$ cycles , call them $C_1, \ldots, C_z$ in order of decreasing size, none of which is a stationary point. In order to create a cycle of size at least $n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}$ we implement the algorithm given below, denoting by (a, b) the permutation transposing a and b.

Algorithm 6 Phase 2

$\phi_1 \leftarrow \phi$
$E(\phi_1) \leftarrow E(\phi)$
while *there exist* $1 < i < j < z$ *and* $a \in V(C_i), b \in V(C_j)$ *such that* $ab, \phi_1^{-1}(b)\phi_1(a) \in F_c^2$ **do**
  $\phi_1 \leftarrow \phi_1 \circ (a, \phi_1^{-1}(b))$
  $E(\phi_1) \leftarrow \{ab, \phi_1^{-1}(b)\phi_1(a)\} \cup E(\phi_1) \backslash \{a\phi_1(a), \phi_1^{-1}(b)b\}$
  $z \leftarrow z - 1$
  Rename the cycles of ϕ_1 as $C_1, C_2, ..., C_z$ in decreasing order of size.
end
Rename the final permutation to be ϕ_2 and rename its cycles as $C'_1, C'_2, ..., C'_y$ in decreasing order of size.
$\phi_2 \leftarrow \phi_1$; set $C'_1, ..., C'_y$ to be the cycles associated with ϕ_2 in decreasing order of size.

Lemma 9.1. W.h.p. $|C'_1| \geq n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n}}$.

Proof. Assume that after applying the algorithm above we get $|C_1'| < n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}$. Set $\alpha := \max\left\{i \in [y] : \sum_{j=1}^{i} |C_j'| < n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}\right\}$, $A := \bigcup_{i\in[\alpha]} C_i'$ (so $|A| < n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{n_c}}$) and $\bar{A} := V(D_c)\backslash A$. As the sequence $|C_1'|, |C_2'|, ..., |C_y'|$ is decreasing, we have

$$n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha+1} |C_j'| \leq 2\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} |C_j'|.$$

Hence, $|A| = \sum_{j=1}^{i} |C_j'| \geq \frac{n_c}{2} - \frac{n_c}{2\sqrt{\log n_c}} \geq \frac{n_c}{3}$. On the other hand $|\bar{A}| = n_c - |A| \geq \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}$.

Since the *Phase 2* algorithm ends with cycles $C_1', ...C_y'$ we have that there do not exist $1 \leq i \leq \alpha < j < y$ and $a \in V(C_i')$, $b \in V(C_j')$ such that $ab, \phi_2^{-1}(b)\phi_2(a) \in F_c^2$. So, for every $a \in A$, $b \in \bar{A}$; either $ab \notin F_c^2$ or $\phi_2^{-1}(b)\phi_2(a) \notin F_c^2$. $A, \bar{A}$ define at least $n_c/\sqrt{\log n} \cdot n_c/3$ such pairs of edges out of which at most $2|A_{m_3}|$ have at least one edge in A_{m_3}. Moreover *Phase 2* sequentially uses at most $2\log n$ disjoint pairs of arcs found in F_c^2 in order to merge cycles. Furthermore at a given point of the algorithm a pair that may be used by the algorithm is determined by one of its arcs. Hence there are at most $\left[2\binom{n_c}{2}\right]^k$ sequences of k merges that may be performed. For each such sequence the corresponding arcs are present in F_c^2 with probability p_2^{2k}. Thus, once algorithm *Phase 2* terminates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(|C_1'| < n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{y} \left[2\binom{n_c}{2}\right]^k p_2^{2k}(1-p_2^2)^{\frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}\cdot\frac{n_c}{3} - 2|A_{m_3}|} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{2\log n_c} (\log n_c)^{2k} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\log^2 n}{9q^2n^2}\left[\frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}\cdot\frac{n_c}{3} - n_c\log n_c\right]\right\} \\
&\leq (2\log n_c + 1)\cdot(\log n_c)^{4\log n_c}\cdot\exp\left(-\frac{\log^{1.5} n}{30q^2}\right) = o(1). \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

10 PHASE 3

With high probability we inherit from *Phase 2* a permutation ϕ_2 consisting of Y cycles, $C_1', ..., C_y'$ such that $|C_1'| \geq |C_2'| \geq ... \geq |C_y'|$, $|C_1'| \geq n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}$ and $y \leq 2\log n_c$. We also inherit the edges $E(\phi_2)$ associated with the permutation ϕ_2. Note that at this point we have revealed all the edges that either have arrived by time m_3 or are found in F_c^2. Hence given $A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2 = \{e_1, ..., e_k\}$ for distinct $e_1', ..., e_\ell' \notin A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2$ the events $\{e_i' \in F_c^3\}$ for $i \in [\ell]$, occur independently each with probability p_3 satisfying

$$p_3 = p(e_i' \in F_c^3 | e_i' \notin F_c^2) = \frac{p\left(e_i' \in F_c^3 \cap e_i' \notin F_c^2\right)}{p(e_i' \notin F_c^2)} = \frac{p_2}{1-p_2}.$$

Since $p_2 = \frac{\log n}{3qn}$, we have

$$\frac{\log n}{3qn} \leq p_3 \leq \frac{\log n}{eqn}.$$

We will use the edges in F_c^3 in order to merge one by one all the cycles with C_1'. More specifically in order to merge two cycles, we will first find an edge in F_c^3 between the cycles to create a path, then we will perform a sequence of double rotations using edges in F_c^3 in order to form a path

that we can "close" using an edge that is also found in F_c^3. Once more, we proceed by implicitly conditioning on all aforementioned events that are proven to occur w.h.p.

Algorithm 7 Phase 3

$C(1) = C'_1$
for $i = 2, 3, ..., y$ **do**
  outcome $\leftarrow$ failure
  suppose $C'_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, ..., x_{i,n_i})$
  Execute: FindCycle$(C(i-1), C'_i,$ outcome)
  if *outcome = failure* **then**
    Terminate phase 3
  end
end

Algorithm 8 FindCycle$(C(i-1), C'_i,$ outcome)

Suppose $C(i-1) = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_\gamma)$.
Set $\mathcal{P}_0^i := \{(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, ..., x_{i,n_i}, y_q, y_{q+1}, ..., y_\gamma, y_1, ..., y_{q-1}) : q \in [\gamma] \text{ and } x_{i,n_i}y_q \in F_c^3\}$.
for $t = 1, ..., \lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log\log n} \rfloor$ **do**
  Suppose $\mathcal{P}_{t-1}^i = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_s\}$;
  $\mathcal{P}_t^i := \mathcal{P}_{t-1}^i$
  for $r = 1, ..., s$ **do**
    Suppose $p_r = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_\ell)$
    For all (a, b) such that $a < b$ and $(u_\ell, u_a), (u_{a-1}, u_b) \in F_c^3$ set:
    $\mathcal{P}_t^i \leftarrow \mathcal{P}_t^i \cup \{(u_1, u_2, ..., u_{a-1}, u_b, u_{b+1}, ..., u_\ell, u_a, u_{a+1}, ..., u_{b-1})\}$
  end
end
Suppose $\mathcal{P}^i_{\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log\log n} \rfloor} = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_d\}$
for $k = 1, ..., d$ **do**
  Suppose $p_k = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_\zeta)$
  if $(w_\zeta, w_1) \in F_c^3$ **then**
    $C(i) = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_\zeta, w_1)$
    outcome $\leftarrow$ success
    Terminate FindCycle$(C(i-1), C'_i,$ outcome)
  end
end

With $n_1 = |C'_1|$ let $C'_1 = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n_1}, v_1)$. Partition C'_1 into $\mu_1 := \lceil \log^2 n / \log\log\log n \rceil$ intervals $A_1, A_2, ...$ of size $\lceil |C'_1|/\mu_1 \rceil$ or $\lfloor |C'_1|/\mu_1 \rfloor$, namely $A_i = \{v_{r_{i-1}+1}, v_{r_{i-1}+2}, ..., v_{r_i}\}$ for some $0 = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < ... < r_{\mu_1} = n_1$. For $I \subset [\mu_1]$ let $A_I := \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, $n_I := |A_I|$ and $B_I := \{v \in V(C'_1) : |\{u \in A_I : (v, u) \in F_c^3\}| \le \log n/50q\}$.

Lemma 10.1. W.h.p for all $I \subset [\mu_1]$ with $|I| = \lfloor \mu_1/10 \rfloor$ we have that $|B_I| \le n^{1 - \frac{1}{q^3 \cdot 10^6}}$.

Proof. For a fixed such I we have $n_I = \sum_{l \in I} |A_l| \ge |I| \lfloor |C'_1|/\mu_1 \rfloor \ge \big(\frac{\mu_1}{10} - 1\big)\big(\frac{|C_1|}{\mu_1} - 1\big) \le n_I$. Therefore as $|C'_1| \ge n_c - \frac{n_c}{\sqrt{\log n_c}}$ and $n_c = \big(1 - o(1)\big)n$ we get that $0.091n \le n_I$. Moreover, for any

vertex $v \in V_c$ there are at most $12\log n$ arcs in $A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2$ from v to A_I. Hence, for fixed k

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(|B_I| \geq k\big) &\leq \binom{n_1}{k}\mathbb{P}\left[Bin\Big(n_I - 12\log n, p_3\Big) \leq \frac{\log n}{50q}\right]^k \\ &\leq \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\left(\frac{0.09}{3q}-\frac{1}{50q}\right)^2}{2}\frac{0.09}{3q}\log n\right)\right]^k \\ &= \left(\frac{e}{k}n^{1-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}\right)^k.\end{aligned}$$

At the 2nd inequality we used the Chernoff bounds (3). Thus, with $k = n^{1-\frac{1}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\exists I \subset [\mu_1] : |I| = \lfloor \mu_1/10\rfloor; |B_I| \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}\big) &\leq \binom{\mu_1}{\lfloor \mu_1/10\rfloor}\left(\frac{e}{n^{1-\frac{1}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}}n^{1-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}\right)^{n^{1-\frac{1}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}} \\ &\leq 2^{\mu_1}\left(en^{-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}\right)^{n^{1-\frac{1}{q^3\cdot 10^6}}} = o(n^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Next, let $\mu_2 := \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log\log\log\log n}\rceil$.

Lemma 10.2. W.h.p. there do not exist $I \subset [\mu_1]$ with $|I| = \lfloor \mu_1/10\rfloor$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_{\mu_2}\} \subset B_I$ and $v \in V_c$ such that $v\phi_2(b_i) \in F_c^3$ for every $i \in [\mu_2]$.

Proof. For fixed v, I, and $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_{\mu_2}\}$, the probability that every $v\phi_2(b_i) \in F_c^3$ and $B \subset B_I$ is bounded by

$$p_3^{\mu_2}\cdot\mathbb{P}\left[Bin\Big(n_I - 12\log n - 1, p_3\Big) \leq \frac{\log n}{50q}\right]^{\mu_2}\cdot\mathbb{I}\big(\forall i \in [\mu_2]\ v\phi_2(b_i) \notin A_{m_3}\cup F_c^2\big) \leq p_3^{\mu_2}\cdot n^{-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}\mu_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\exists v, I, B \text{ as above}) &\leq n2^{\mu_1}\binom{n}{\mu_2}p_3^{\mu_2}n^{-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}\mu_2} \leq n2^{\mu_1}\left(\frac{en}{\mu_2}\right)^{\mu_2}\left(\frac{\log n}{eqn}\right)^{\mu_2}n^{-\frac{1.5}{q^3\cdot 10^6}\mu_2} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{\log n + \mu_1\log 2 + \mu_2\log\left(\frac{\log n}{\mu_2}\right) - \frac{1.5\mu_2}{q^3\cdot 10^6}\log n\right\} \\ &\leq \exp\big\{\Theta(\mu_1 - \mu_2\log n)\big\} = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10.3. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ be fixed. Then w.h.p. there do not exist $A, B \subset V(C_1')$ satisfying all 3 of the following:

i) $|A| \leq \alpha_0 = \alpha e^{-3}n/\log n$,

ii) $|B| \leq \alpha|A|\log n/2$

iii) $|\{(u,v) \in F_c^3 : u \in A, v \in B\}| \geq \alpha|A|\log n$.

Proof. Observe that if there exist sets A, B satisfying conditions i-iii we may extend B, by adding to it any vertices of $V(C_1')$, to a set B' of size $\alpha|A|\log n/2$ such that the sets A, B' also satisfy

conditions i-iii. Hence, if we let $\mathcal{F}$ be the event that there exist sets A, B satisfying conditions i-iii, then as $|V(C_1')| \le n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_0} \sum_{\substack{A,B\subset V(C_1'):\\ |A|=k,|B|=\alpha k\log n/2}} \sum_{\substack{E\subset A\times B:\\ |E|=\alpha k\log n}} p_3^{\alpha k\log n}\cdot \mathbb{I}\big(E\cap(A_{m_3}\cup F_c^2)=\emptyset\big)\\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_0}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{\alpha k\log n/2}\binom{k\cdot\alpha k\log n/2}{\alpha k\log n}\cdot p_3^{\alpha k\log n}\\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_0}\left\{\frac{en}{k}\left[\frac{2en}{\alpha k\log n}\left(\frac{ek}{2}\right)^2\left(\frac{\log n}{eqn}\right)^2\right]^{\alpha\log n/2}\right\}^k \le \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_0}\left[\frac{en}{k}\left(\frac{k\log n}{2q^2\alpha n}\right)^{\alpha\log n/2}\right]^k = o(1).\ \square\end{aligned}$$

We say that iteration i of *Phase 3* is a success if *FindCycle*$(C(i-1), C_i', outcome)$ merges $C(i-1)$ with C_i'. To show that *Phase 3* is successful it is enough to show that for $i \in [y]$, conditioned on iteration $i-1$ of the algorithm being a success (i.e *Findcycle* defines $C(i-1)$), iteration i is not a success with probability $o(\frac{1}{y})$. Henceforth we implicitly condition on the statements of the previous three Lemmas. The following three definitions will be of high significance for the rest of this section.

Definition 10.4. For $I \subset [\mu_1]$ set $cl(A_I) := \{e \in E(C_1') : |e \cap V(A_I)| \ge 1\}$.

Definition 10.5. We say that a path $P = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_p)$ is *good* if $\exists I \subset [\mu_1]$ with $|I| = \lfloor \mu_1/10 \rfloor$ and $r < s \le \frac{p}{2}$ such that $s - r \le \frac{p}{9}$, $cl(A_I) \subset \{v_j v_{j+1} : r \le j < s\}$ and $v_p \notin B_I$ (recall $v_p \notin B_I$ if there are more than $\frac{\log n}{50q}$ arcs in F_c^3 from v_p to A_I).

Definition 10.6. For $S \subseteq C(i-1)$, set $J_S := \Big(\bigcup_{k=2}^{i} V(C_k')\Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\ell\in F_S} A_\ell\Big)$ for $F_S := \{\ell \in [\mu_1] : cl(A_\ell) \not\subset E(S)\}$.

Lemma 10.7. Suppose S is a good path that appears during stage i of *Phase 3*. Then $|J_S| \le o(n)$.

Proof. To merge $C(i-1)$ with C_i', we start by joining the two cycles using an edge in F_c^3, then delete an edge from each cycle to create a path. Thereafter, in order to create a new path from a given one, we perform double rotations (defined in section Finding Hamilton cycles - Overview). Every double rotation involves removing two edges from the current path and adding two edges from F_c^3. As *FindCycle*$(\cdot)$ performs $\le \frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}$ rounds of double rotations, $|E(C(i-1))\backslash E(S)| \le 1 + 2\cdot\frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}$. Similarly, $|E(C(k-1))\backslash E(C(k))| \le 1 + 2\cdot\frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}$ for every $2 \le k < i$. Thus, as $i \le 2\log n$, we have

$$|F_S| \le 2|E(C_1')\backslash E(S)| = 2|E(C(1))\backslash E(S)| \le 4\log n\cdot\left(1 + 2\cdot\frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}\right) = o(\mu_1).$$

(At the first inequality, we used that each removed $e \in E(C_1')$ was in ≤ 2 of the $cl(A_\ell)$'s). Therefore,

$$|J_S| \le \sum_{k=2}^{i}|V(C_k')| + \sum_{\ell\in F_S}|A_\ell| \le o(n) + o(\mu_1)\cdot(n/\mu_1 + 1) = o(n). \qquad \square$$

Definition 10.8. Let $i \in [y]$ and $x \in V(C_i')$. For $t \le \frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}$ we define $\mathcal{GP}_t^i$ to be the set of all good paths that are contained in $\mathcal{P}_t^i$. Furthermore let $ENDG_t^i$ be the set of endpoints of paths in $\mathcal{GP}_t^i$.

Lemma 10.9. For $i \in [y]$, conditioned on iteration $i-1$ being a success, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{GP}_t^i \neq \emptyset) \geq 1 - o(n^{-\frac{1}{4q}})$.

Proof. Let $C(i-1) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_\gamma, u_1\}$. Partition $C(i-1)$ into 9 blocks/subpaths $S_1, S_2, ..., S_9$ by setting, for $\ell \in [9]$, $S_\ell := \{u_{\lfloor \frac{\ell-1}{9} \cdot \gamma \rfloor + 1}, ..., u_{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{9} \cdot \gamma \rfloor}\}$. Note every $|J_{S_\ell}| \leq |J_{C(i-1)}| + 2 = o(n_c)$, so

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in [\mu_1] \\ cl(A_i) \subset E(S_\ell)}} |A_i| = |S_\ell \backslash J_{S_\ell}| = |S_\ell| - o(n_c) \geq \left| \frac{C_1'}{9} \right| - 1 - o(n_c) = \big(1 - o(1)\big) \frac{n_c}{9}.$$

Hence for each $\ell \in [9]$, we may pick $I_\ell \subset [\mu_1]$ such that $I_\ell = \lfloor \mu_1/10 \rfloor$ and $cl(A_{I_\ell}) \subset E(S_\ell)$. Recall the notation $C_i' = \{x_{i,1}x_{i,2}, ..., x_{i,n_i}, x_{i,1}\}$. In order for $\mathcal{GP}_0^i$ to be non-empty we need an arc $(x_{i,n_i}, u_a) \in F_c^3$ for some $a \in [\gamma]$. Such an arc will result in the path $P = \{x_{i,1}, ..., x_{i,n_i}, u_a, u_{a+1}, ..., u_\gamma, u_1, ..., u_{a-1}\}$. Observe that at least one of the blocks S_ℓ defined above is found in the first half of such a path (here we are using that the path is split into at least 5 blocks). Hence P is good if $u_{j-1} \notin B_{I_1} \cup B_{I_2} \cup ... \cup B_{I_9}$ or equivalently if $\phi_2^{-1}(u_j) \notin B_{I_1} \cup B_{I_2} \cup ... \cup B_{I_9}$. But Lemma 10.1 implies $|B_{I_1} \cup B_{I_2} \cup ... \cup B_{I_9}| \leq 9n^{1 - \frac{1}{q^3 \cdot 10^6}}$, and recall each vertex has at most $12 \log n$ arcs in $A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2$. Since we do not examine the arcs in $\{x_{i,n_1}\} \times V(C_1')$ that are found in F_c^3 until we execute the i-th iteration of *Phase 3*, we have that any arc in $\{x_{i,n_1}\} \times V(C_1')$ not found in $A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2$ belongs to F_c^3 with probability p_3. Thus, given that iteration $i-1$ is a success, the probability of the event $\{\mathcal{GP}_0^i = \emptyset\}$ is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ Bin\big[(|C(i-1)| - 9n^{1 - \frac{1}{q^3 \cdot 10^6}} - 12 \log n), p_3\big] = 0 \right\} \leq (1-p_3)^{\frac{3}{4}n} \leq e^{-p_3 \cdot \frac{3}{4}n} = o(n^{-\frac{1}{4q}}). \qquad \square$$

We will use the endpoints of good paths in order to lower bound the number of distinct endpoints of paths created at some iteration of *Phase 3*. The advantage of good paths is that their endpoints have many arcs towards earlier vertices of the path, whose predecessors in turn have many arcs to vertices nearer the end of the path. Hence, we expect the number of paths originating from a specific good path after an iteration of *Phase 3* to be large. Note that for any $i \in [y]$ all the paths that are constructed during *FindCycle*$(C(i-1), C_i', outcome)$ have the same starting point, namely $x_{i,1}$.

Lemma 10.10. Let $i \in [y]$ be such that $\mathcal{GP}_t^i \neq \emptyset$. Then, w.h.p. for $t \leq \frac{2 \log n}{\log \log n} - 1$,

$$|ENDG_t^i| \leq \frac{n}{612 e^3 q \log^2 n} \qquad \text{implies} \qquad \left(\frac{\log n}{102q} \right)^2 |ENDG_t^i| \leq |ENDG_{t+1}^i|.$$

Proof. For $t \leq \frac{2 \log n}{\log \log n} - 1$ let $P = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_p) \in \mathcal{GP}_t^i$ and r_P, s_P, I_P be as in the definition of a good path. partition P into 9 sub-paths $S_{1,P}, S_{2,P}, ..., S_{9,P}$ containing $A_{I_{1,P}}, A_{I_{2,P}}, ...A_{I_{9,P}}$ as is done earlier in Lemma 10.9. Set

$$H_1(P) = \{u_j \in P : u_p u_j \in F_c^3, u_j \in A_{I_P} \text{ and } u_{j-1} \notin B_{I_{9,P}}\}$$

and

$$H_2(P) = \{u_{j-1} : u_j \in H_1(P)\}.$$

Since P is good path we have that $u_p \notin B_{I_P}$. Therefore u_p has at least $\frac{\log n}{50q}$ neighbours in A_{I_P} out of which at most μ_2 have their predecessor in $B_{I_{9,P}}$ (see Lemma 10.2). Hence we have that

$$|H_2(P)| = |H_1(P)| \geq \frac{\log n}{50q} - \mu_2 \geq \frac{\log n}{51q}. \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, if $r_P < \frac{p}{9} + 1$ for each $u \in H_2(P)$ set,

$$H_3(P,u) = \{u_\ell \in P : uu_\ell \in F_c^3, u_\ell \in A_{I_{9,P}} \text{ and } u_{\ell-1} \notin B_{I_{3,P}}\}.$$

Otherwise, set

$$H_3(P,u) = \{u_\ell \in P : uu_\ell \in F_c^3, u_\ell \in A_{I_{9,P}} \text{ and } u_{\ell-1} \notin B_{I_{1,P}}\}.$$

Finally in both of the above cases set

$$H_4(P,u) = \{u_{\ell-1} : u_\ell \in H_3(P,u)\}.$$

As before, from $H_2(P) \cap B_{I_{9,P}} = \emptyset$ together with Lemma 10.2 we have that, for all $u \in H_2(P)$,

$$|H_4(P,u)| = |H_3(P,u)| \geq \frac{\log n}{50q} - \mu_2 \geq \frac{\log n}{51q}. \tag{8}$$

Finally for $k \in \{1,2\}$ and $m \in \{3,4\}$ set,

$$\mathcal{H}_k := \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{GP}_t^i} H_k(P) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{H}_m := \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{GP}_t^i} \left\{ \bigcup_{v \in H_2(P)} H_m(P,v) \right\}.$$

Claim: $\mathcal{H}_4 \subset ENDG_{t+1}^i$.

Proof of the claim: Indeed, suppose that $r_P < \frac{p}{9} + 1$ and $u_{k-1} \in \mathcal{H}_4$, i.e. there are j and k such that

$$u_p u_j, u_{j-1}u_k \in F_c^3, \quad u_j \in A_{I_P}, \quad u_k \in A_{I_{9,P}}, \quad u_{j-1} \notin B_{I_{9,P}} \text{ and } u_{k-1} \notin B_{I_{3,P}}.$$

Then, $r_P \leq j \leq s_P \leq \frac{p}{2} \leq k$ and hence a double rotation on P using the edges $u_p u_j, u_{j-1}u_k$ will result in the path $P' = (u_1, u_2, ..u_{j-1}u_k, u_{k+1}, ..., u_p, u_j, u_{j+1}, ..., u_{k-1})$. So in showing that $u_{k-1} \in ENDG_{t+1}^i$ it suffices to show that P' is a good path with $I_{P'} = I_{3,P}$. To see this first note $u_{k-1} \notin B_{I_{3,P}}$. Secondly $cl(A_{I_{3,P}}) \subset P'$ as $cl(A_{I_{3,P}}) \subset P$ and no edge of $cl(A_{I_{3,P}})$ was deleted in a double rotation. Thirdly if we let r', s' to be respectively the smallest and largest indices of vertices in $A_{I_{3,P}} (= A_{I_{P'}})$ in the path P then $(s'+1) - (r'-1) \leq \frac{|P'|}{9} (= \frac{p}{9})$ as $cl(A_{I_{3,P}}) \subset E(S_{3,P})$. This implies that $cl(A_{I_{P'}}) \subset \{u_j u_{j+1} : (r'-1) + (p-k+1) \leq j < (s'+1) + (p-k+1)\}$ and that $[(s'+1) - (p-k+1)] - [(r'-1) - (p-k+1)] \leq \frac{p}{9}$. Finally as $u_k \in A_{I_{9,P}}$ and $u_{s'} \in A_{I_{3,P}}$, we get that $p - k \leq \frac{p}{9}$ and $(s'+1) \leq \frac{p}{3}$. Hence $(s'+1) + (p-k+1) < \frac{p}{2}$.

In the case that $r_P > \frac{p}{9}$ and $u_{k-1} \in \mathcal{H}_4$, the goodness of p' (now with $I_{P'} = I_{1,P}$) follows from the same reasoning with the only difference that the vertices in $A_{I_{P'}}$ hold the same positions in both paths. Thus in both cases P' is good, proving the claim.

Suppose that $|ENDG_t^i| \leq \frac{n}{612e^3 q \log^2 n}$. To make sure that the endpoints of good paths in $\mathcal{GP}_{t+1}^i$ do not coincide too often we apply Lemma 10.3 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{51q}, A = ENDG_t^i, B = \mathcal{H}_1$. Recall for every good path there are at least $\frac{\log n}{51q}$ edges from its endpoint that lie in A to vertices in $B = \mathcal{H}_1$. So by summing over a maximal set of paths with distinct endpoints we get that there are at least $\frac{1}{51q}|A| \log n$ arcs from A to B. Hence as $|A| \leq \frac{n}{612e^3 q \log^2 n} \leq \alpha e^{-3} n / \log n$ in the Lemma 10.3

condition ii) must not be satisfied. Moreover there are at most $\Delta^+(G)|A| \leq 12\log n|A|$ arcs from A to B. Therefore,

$$\frac{\log n}{102q}|ENDG_t^i| \leq |\mathcal{H}_1| = |\mathcal{H}_2| \leq 12\log n|ENDG_t^i| \leq \frac{n}{51qe^3\log n}.$$

Similarly by reapplying Lemma 10.3 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{51q}, A = \mathcal{H}_2, B = \mathcal{H}_3$ we have that,

$$\left(\frac{\log n}{102q}\right)^2|ENDG_t^i| \leq \frac{\log n}{102q}|\mathcal{H}_2| \leq |\mathcal{H}_3| = |\mathcal{H}_4| \leq |ENDG_{t+1}^i|. \qquad \square$$

Summarising, the two last lemmas give us that conditioned on phase $i-1$ being a success, $1 \leq |ENDG_0^i|$ with probability at least $1 - o(n^{-\frac{1}{4q}})$. Furthermore since $n \leq \left(\frac{\log n}{102q}\right)^{\frac{1.8\log n}{\log\log n}}$ the integer $t_f := \min\left\{j : \left(\frac{\log n}{102q}\right)^{2j} \geq \frac{n}{612e^3q\log^2 n}\right\}$ is less than $\frac{0.9\log n}{\log\log n}$ and satisfies, due to Lemma 10.10, $|ENDG_{t_f}^i| \geq \frac{n}{612e^3q\log^2 n}$. Thus by applying the same argument as in the previous lemma to a subset F of $ENDG_{t_f}^i$ of size $\frac{n}{612e^3q\log^2 n}$ and to the set of paths in $\mathcal{GP}_{t_f}^i$ with endpoints in F we have that

$$\beta n_c = \left(\frac{\log n}{102q}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{n}{612e^3q\log^2 n} \leq |ENDG_{t_f+1}^i(v)|$$

for some constant $\beta > 0$. Recall that all the paths in $\mathcal{GP}_{t_f+1}^i$ start from the same vertex $x_{1,i} \in V(C_i')$ and that $\mathcal{GP}_{t_f+1}^i \subset \mathcal{P}^i_{\lfloor\frac{2\log n}{\log\log n}\rfloor}$. Since we do not examine the arcs going into $x_{i,1}$ until the very end of the i-th iteration of *Phase 3*, *after* conditioning on iteration $i-1$ of *Phase 3* being a success every arc in $V(C_1') \times \{x_{i,1}\}\backslash\left(A_{m_3} \cup F_c^2\right)$ belong to F_c^3 with probability p_3 . Hence, the probability of iteration i of *Phase 3* not being a success conditioned on iteration $i-1$ is bounded by

$$o\big(n^{-\frac{1}{4q}}\big) + p\big[Bin(\beta n_c - \Delta^-(D_c), p_3) = 0\big] \leq o\big(n^{-\frac{1}{4q}}\big) + (1-p_3)^{cn_c - 12\log n} = o(n^{-\epsilon}),$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. As we merge cycles at most $y \leq 2\log n$ times, *Phase 3* succeeds in merging all the cycles into one with probability $1 - o(n^{-\epsilon}\cdot 2\log n) = 1 - o(1)$. Hence for any fixed color $c \in [q]$ COL succeeds in coloring the arcs of D_τ such that D_c contains at least one Hamilton cycle. Thus by Lemma 6.5 and taking a union bound over the colors in $[q]$ the statement of Theorem 1.1. follows.

References

[1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, First occurrence of Hamilton cycles in random graphs, in Cycles in graphs (Burnaby, B.C., 1982), North-Holland Mathematical Studies **115**, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985), 173178.

[2] S. Bernstein, The Theory of Probabilities, Gastehizdat Publishing House, Moscow (1946).

[3] J. Briggs, A.M. Frieze, M. Krivelevich, P.-S. Loh, and B. Sudakov, arXiv:1608.04976, (2016).

[4] B. Bollobás, The evolution of sparse graphs, *in* "Graph theory and combinatorics proceedings, Cambridge Combinatorial Conference in Honour of Paul Erdős, 1984" (B. Bollobás, Ed.), 335-357. .

[5] B. Bollobás and A.M. Frieze, On matchings and Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics 28* (1985) 2346.

[6] C. Cooper and A. Frieze, Multi-coloured hamilton cycles in random edge-coloured graphs, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 11 (2002), 129133.

[7] P. Erdős, and A. Rényi. "On the strength of connectedness of a random graph." Acta Mathematica Hungarica 12.1-2 (1961): 261-267.

[8] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I*, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

[9] W. Feller, The fundamental limit theorems in probability, *Bull. Amer.* Math. Soc., vol. 51 (1945). 800-832.

[10] A. Ferber and M. Krivelevich, Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs and hypergraphs, *Recent trends in combinatorics, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its applications, A. Beveridge, J. R. Griggs, L. Hogben, G. Musiker and P. Tetali, Eds.,* Springer 2016, 167-189.

[11] A.M. Frieze, An algorithm for finding Hamilton cycles in random directed graphs, *Journal of Algorithms* **9** (1988), 181-204.

[12] A. Frieze and P.-S. Loh, Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 44 (2014), 328-354.

[13] A. Frieze and M. Karoński, Introduction to random graphs, Cambridge University Press, (2015).

[14] W. Hoeffding, Probability inequalities for sum of bounded random variables, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 58 (1963) 13-30.

[15] F. Knox, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms 46* (2015), 397445.

[16] J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi, Limit distribution for the existance of Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Discrete Math*, 43 (1983), 55-63.

[17] A. Korshunov, Solution of a problem of Erdos and Renyi on Hamilton cycles non-oriented graphs, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 17 (1976), 760764.

[18] M. Krivelevich, E. Lubetzky and B. Sudakov, Hamiltonicity thresholds in Achlioptas processes, *Random Structures and Algorithms 37* (2010), 1-24.

[19] M. Krivelevich and W. Samotij, Optimal packings of Hamilton cycles in sparse random graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 26* (2012) 964982.

[20] C. Lee, B. Sudakov and D. Vilenchik, Getting a Directed Hamilton Cycle Two Times Faster, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21(5) (2012), 773801.

[21] C. J. H. McDiarmid. Clutter percolation and random graphs, *Math. Programming Studies, vol. 13* (1980) 17-25.

[22] L. Pósa, Hamiltonian circuits in random graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 14 (1976) 359364.

A Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 can be proven in an almost identical fashion to Theorem 1.1. As the proof of Theorem 1.1 is slighty lengthy with many technicalities we are only going to present a sketch of the prof of Theorem 1.2 where we highlight substantial differences.

A.1 Some notation

Write τ' for τ'_{2q}, that is, the hitting time m for when G_m first has minimum degree 2.

Notation. For $u, v \in V_n$ we say the we orient the edge uv $+u$ or equivalently $-v$ if we orient it from u to v.

Definition A.1. For $v \in V_n$, $c \in [q]$ and $t \in \{0, 1, ..., n(n-1)/2\}$ we define the quantities $d_t^+(v, c)$, $d_t^-(v, c)$, $d_t^+(v)$, $d_t^-(v)$, $d^+(v)$, $d^-(v)$ and the sets $C_v^+(t)$, $C_u^-(t)$ as in the subsection 2.1.

We are now interested in assigning every (color,direction)-pair to the edges adjacent to a vertex. Hence at time t we are interested in if a vertex belongs to both the sets $FULL_{t-1}^+$ and $FULL_{t-1}^-$ defined in subsection 2.1, but we redefine their intersection below for clarity.

Definition A.2. For $t \in \{0, 1, ..., \tau'\}$ we set $FULL_t := \{v \in V_n : C_v^+(t) \cup C_u^-(t) \neq \emptyset\}$ (i.e. the set of vertices that at time t have out-degree and in-degree in each color at least one).

Algorithm 9 ColorGreedy2(u, v, t)

if $u \notin FULL_{t-1}$ or $v \notin FULL_{t-1}$ **then**
  orient and color the edge uv by an orientation and a color that is chosen uniformly at random from $\{(x, c) \in \{+1, -1\} \times [q] : \mathbb{I}\big(d_{t-1}^{sign(x)}(u, c) = 0\big) + \mathbb{I}\big(d_{t-1}^{sign(-x)}(v, c) = 0\big) \geq 1\}$.
else
  orient uv uniformly at random
  color uv with a color that is chosen uniformly at random from [q].
end if

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ we still take $m_i = i \cdot e^{-q \cdot 10^4} n \log n$.

Algorithm 10 COL-ORIENT

for $t = 1, ..., m_1$ **do**
  let $e_t = uv$
  Execute ColorGreedy2(u, v, t)
end
For $v \in V_c$ set $c^+(v) = 1, c^-(v) = 1$.
for $t = m_1 + 1, ..., m_2$ **do**
  let $e_t = uv$
  if $u \notin FULL_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL_{t-1}$ **then**
    Execute ColorGreedy2(u, v, t)
  else
    choose $w \in \{u, v\}$ uniformly at random; orient e_t $+w$
    color the arc e_t by the color c that satisfies $c \equiv c^+(w) \mod q$,
    $c^+(w) \leftarrow c^+(w) + 1$.
  end
end
for $t = m_2 + 1, m_2 + 2, ..., m_3$ **do**
  let $e_t = uv$
  if $u \notin FULL_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL_{t-1}$ **then**
    Execute ColorGreedy2(u, v, t)
  else
    choose $w \in \{u, v\}$ uniformly at random; orient e_t $-w$
    color the arc e_t by the color c that satisfies $c \equiv c^-(w) \mod q$,
    $c^-(w) \leftarrow c^-(w) + 1$.
  end
end
For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, * \in \{+, -\}$ set $B_i^* := \{v \in V_n : d^*_{m_i}(v) - d^*_{m_{i-1}} \leq e^{-q \cdot 10^6}, \log n\}$
Furthermore set $BAD := B_1^+ \cup B_1^- \cup B_2^+ \cup B_3^-$ and $E^2 := \emptyset, E^3 := \emptyset$.
for $t = m_3 + 1, ..., \tau'$ **do**
  let $e_t = uv$
  if $u \notin FULL_{t-1}$ *or* $v \notin FULL_{t-1}$ **then**
    Execute ColorGreedy2(u, v, t)
  else if $u \in BAD$ *or* $v \in BAD$ **then**
    Choose uniformly at random $(x, c) \in \{+1, -1\} \times [q]$ from those that minimiz the expression $d_t^{sign(x)}(u, c)\mathbb{I}(u \in BAD) + d_t^{sign(-x)}(v, c)\mathbb{I}(v \in BAD)$.
    Color the edge uv by color c and orient it $sign(x)u$.
  else
    Execute ColorGreedy2(u, v, t).
    Add the arc uv to either E^2 or E^3 randomly, each with probability $1/2$.
  end
end

Remark A.3. As in algorithm COL, if for some $e_t = uv$, $u \notin FULL_{t-1}$ or $v \notin FULL_{t-1}$ then any $(x, c) \in \{+1, -1\} \times [q]$ that satisfies $d_{t-1}^{sign(x)}(u, c) = 0$ or $d_{t-1}^{sign(x)}(v, c) = 0$ may be chosen in the assignment of orientation and color to uv with probability at least $\frac{1}{2q}$.

It is easy to see the Lemmas in Section 3 have an undirected version which can be proven in the same way. On the other hand in order to prove that $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ assigns directions and

colors to the edges such that $\forall v \in V_n$ and $\forall c \in [q]$ $d^+_{\tau'}(v,c), d^-_{\tau'}(v,c) \geq 1$ we make a small additional calculation. That is because the first step that we took in the proof of Theorem 4.1 was to define sets $N^+_L(v)$, $A^+_L(v)$ and for each $w \in N^+_L(v)$ a set $B^-_v(w)$ of size $\Omega(\log n)$ such that all those sets were disjoint. In that case any distinct $B^-_v(w)$ and $B^-_v(w')$ were disjoint since an edge can't have both w and w' as in-vertices. In this case the existence of the corresponding sets, defined below, will result from the following lemma.

Lemma A.4. W.h.p. $G_{\tau'}$ does not contain a cycle of length 4 with a chord. Hence for $v \in V_n$, if $N(v)$ denotes the neighbours of v in $G_{\tau'}$, every $w \in N(v)$ at time τ' has at most one neighbour in $N(v)$.

Proof. Using (2), the fact that almost surely $\tau' \leq m_u$ and Markov's inequality we get that the probability that such a subgraph exists is bounded by

$$\binom{n}{4} 4! p_u^5 \leq 24 n^4 \left(\frac{2\log n}{n}\right)^5 = o(1). \qquad \square$$

For $v \in V_n$ denote by $N_L(v)$ the neighbours of v in $G_{\tau'}$ with more than $\frac{\log n}{100}$ neighbours in $G_{\tau'}$ and $A_L(v)$ the set of edges arising from $N_L(v)$ (i.e. $A_L(v) := \{vw \in E_{\tau'} : w \in N_L(v)\}$). For $w \in N_L(v)$ we choose $B_v(w)$ uniformly at random from all the subsets of $\big\{wx \in E_{\tau'} : x \notin N(v) \cup \{v\}\big\}$ of size $\frac{\log n}{100} - 1$. Finally we let $A_v(w) := B_v(w) \cup \{vw\}$. Note that Lemma A.4 implies that $\big|\big\{wx \in E_{\tau'} : x \notin N(v) \cup \{v\}\big\}\big| \geq \big(\frac{\log n}{100} + 1\big) - 2$, hence $|A_v(w)| = \frac{\log n}{100}$. Thereafter we can define the graphs $G^{(1)}_{\tau'}$, $G^{(2)}_{\tau'}$ (as in Section 4) and then for every $v \in V_n$, in place of $COL1(v)$, the algorithm $COL\text{-}ORIENT1(v)$ given below.

Algorithm 11 COL-ORIENT1(v)

for $t = 1, ..., \tau'$ **do**
  let $e_t = ab$
  if $e_t \in \bigcup_{w \in N^+_L(v)} B^-_v(w)$ **then**
    choose (x, c) from $\{+1, -1\} \times [q]$ uniformly at random
    if $c \in C^{sign(x)}_{2,a}(t-1) \cup C^{sign(-x)}_{2,b}(t-1)$ **then**
      in both $G^{(1)}_{\tau'}$, $G^{(2)}_{\tau'}$ color e_t with color c and orient it $sign(x)$ a
    else
      color e_t in $G^{(1)}_{\tau'}$ with color c and orient it $sign(x)a$
      to assign and orient e_t in $G^{(2)}_{\tau'}$ execute step t of COL-ORIENT.
    end
  else
    to color and orient e_t in $G^{(2)}_{\tau'}$ execute step t of COL-ORIENT.
    assign to e_t in $G^{(1)}_{\tau'}$ the same color and direction as in $G^{(2)}_{\tau'}$.
  end
end

Note that the sufficient conditions corresponding to those needed while proving Lemmas 4.6 and 4.14 are met (see Remark 4.12). Specifically, $\{A_v(w)\}$ are disjoint, each $A_v(w)$ has size $\Omega\big(\log n\big)$, and for every $w \in N_L(v)$ every edge in $B_v(w)$ is colored and oriented by $COL\text{-}ORIENT1(v)$ independently and uniformly at random. Hence we can proceed analogously to section 4.

For the part of the proof corresponding to section 5 we can define BAD as the vertices that are adjacent to few edges in E_{m_1} or in $E_{m_2}\backslash E_{m_1}$ or in $E_{m_3}\backslash E_{m_2}$. Then by repeating the calculations, with the undirected random graph process in place of the random directed graph process, we can bound the size of BAD by a constant. Thereafter we can proceed and "hide" BAD with a similar algorithm in order to form G_c. The calculations found in section 8 (corresponding to phase 1) are the same.

Analogously to section 9 we can argue that $E_{\tau'}\backslash E_{m_3}$ contains two random sets of edges F_c^2 and F_c^3. Once again we may assume that every edge not in E_{m_3} belongs to $F_c^2 \cup F_c^3$ with probability $2p_2 = \frac{2\log n}{3qn}$. Furthermore each edge in $F_c^2 \cup F_c^3$ belongs to F_c^2 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence a given sequence of k arcs where each arc corresponds to a distinct edge of G_c that doesn't appear by time m_3 may appear after we apply $COL\text{-}ORIENT$ with probability $\left(\frac{p_2}{2}\right)^k$. Similarly if we let S be a set of any ℓ pairs of arcs of G_c that originate from 2ℓ distinct edges not found in E_{m_3} we have the following. No pair of arcs in S belongs to F_c^2 with probability $\left[1-\left(\frac{p_2}{2}\right)^2\right]^\ell$.

The rest of the calculations (i.e. the ones found in section 10) can be repeated with the only differences that p_3 will be half of what it is in section 10 and a slight adjacent of the size of B_I (it will still be o(n)) which balance the adjustment on p_3. That is because the appearance of two arcs corresponding to the same undirected edge were never considered in any of section 10's upper bounds in probability.